

THE PLANCHEREL FORMULA OF $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$

TANG U-LIANG

ABSTRACT. We study the right regular representation on the space $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ where G is a quasi-split p -adic group and ψ a non-degenerate unitary character of the unipotent subgroup N_0 of a minimal parabolic subgroup of G . We obtain the direct integral decomposition of this space into its constituent representations. In particular, we deduce that the discrete spectrum of $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ consists precisely of ψ generic discrete series representations and derive the Plancherel formula for $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction and statement of main results	1
2. The Cartan and Iwasawa decompositions of G	3
3. Parabolic subgroups, Tori and Schwartz spaces	5
4. Whittaker functions and the Harish-Chandra transform	9
5. The discrete spectrum of $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$	14
6. The Plancherel formula for $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$	21
References	31

1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF MAIN RESULTS

Let ψ be a nondegenerate unitary character of the unipotent radical N_0 of a minimal standard parabolic subgroup of a connected quasi-split p -adic group, G . Define $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ as the space of functions on G which transform according to ψ , i.e. $f(ng) = \psi(n)f(g)$ and are square integrable modulo N_0 . This space becomes a unitary representation of G via right translation.

The purpose of this work is to obtain the Plancherel formula for this unitary representation. Dinakar Ramakrishnan has obtained the spectral decomposition for this space when $G = GL(2)$ in [Ram2]. He proves this for both the archimedean and non-archimedean cases. Nolan Wallach then extended his result to arbitrary real reductive groups. Indeed, much of the arguments in the latter part of this paper imitate Wallach's original proofs in [Wa].

There are two crucial components into developing a spectral theory of $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. One of them is to prove a certain surjectivity result from the space of Schwartz functions G to the space of Schwartz functions on $N_0 \setminus G$. This is the author's original contribution.

A second component needed is the knowledge of the analyticity of a certain Jacquet integral (this integral is defined later in the paper.) In the non-archimedean case, it follows from an argument of Casselman in [C-S] (see also [Jac] and [Shah]) that the Jacquet integral extends to a holomorphic function. One requires such an integral to define a *Whittaker transform* which transforms certain smooth functions on orbits of discrete series

Key words and phrases. Whittaker model, generic representations, square integrable representations.

The author wishes to thank Professor Gordon Savin, Professor Gan Wee Teck and H.Y. Loke for initiating this project, suggestions, comments and guidance.

representations to Schwartz functions on $N_0 \setminus G$ analogous to the Harish-Chandra wave packet map. We refer the reader to Section 6.2 for the precise definition of this transform.

It is a pleasant consequence of our investigations that we are able to obtain an extension of a result in [KLS]. We refer the reader to Theorem 4.4.1. This generalization is a consequence of the fact that matrix coefficients of generic discrete series representations decay rapidly (in manner made precise in this paper).

To state our main result, we must describe briefly the notation involved. Let $P = MN$ be a standard parabolic subgroup of G with M and N its Levi and unipotent subgroup respectively. Let ψ^M denote the restriction of ψ to $M \cap N_0$. We take a ψ^M generic discrete series representation (σ, H_σ) and consider the unitarily induced representation $I_P^G(\sigma \otimes \nu)$ where $\nu \in \text{Im}(X_{ur}(M)) = i\mathfrak{a}_M/L$ (L is some lattice in the real vector space $\mathfrak{a}_M$) runs over all unramified unitary characters of M . Let $Wh_{\psi^M}(H_\sigma)$ denote the (one dimensional) space of Whittaker functionals on σ . Let

$$\mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \nu} = I_P^G(\sigma, \nu) \otimes Wh_{\psi^M}(H_\sigma)$$

and consider the direct integral

$$\mathcal{I}_{\sigma, M} = \int_{i\mathfrak{a}_M/L}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \nu} \tilde{\mu}(\sigma, \nu) d\nu$$

where $\tilde{\mu}(\sigma, \nu)$ is a certain normalization of the Plancherel measure on $i\mathfrak{a}/L$.

Let

$$W(G|M) := \{w \in W^G \mid w.M = M\}/W^M$$

where W^G and W^M denote the Weyl group of G and its Levi M respectively. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\psi^M}^2$, then $w.\sigma$ is defined and denote $\mathcal{E}_{\psi^M}^2(M)/W(G|M)$ to be the set of isomorphism classes of square integrable representations of M which are ψ^M generic modulo the action of $W(G|M)$.

We prove that

Theorem 1.0.1. *There exists a unitary linear surjection from*

$$\sum_{M \subset G} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\psi^M}^2(M)/W(G|M)} \mathcal{I}_{\sigma, M}$$

onto $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.

We also compute the explicit normalization of $\tilde{\mu}(\sigma, \nu)$. If $\mu(\sigma, \nu) d\nu$ denotes the Plancherel measure on $i\mathfrak{a}_M/L$, then

$$\tilde{\mu}(\sigma, \nu) d\nu = \frac{1}{|W(G|M)|\gamma(G|M)c^2(G|M)} \mu(\sigma, \nu) d\nu.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we give a simplified exposition of Bruhat-Tits theory adequate for our purposes and prove Lemma 2.1.1. This is a key lemma required to prove the crucial Lemma 5.2.2.

Section 3 describes the notations and conventions for parabolic subgroups and tori needed to describe unitary parabolic induction. We also give a description of both the Schwartz spaces on G and $N_0 \setminus G$ needed later.

In Section 4 we discuss the asymptotics of Whittaker functions for discrete series representations and more generally for tempered representations.

Finally in Section 5 we prove Lemma 5.2.2 which tells us (among other things) that the map from $\mathcal{C}^*(G)$ to $\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ is surjective.

In Section 6, we set the stage for deriving the Plancherel formula. This is essentially Theorem 6.2.2. Our main result, Theorem 1.0.1, is precisely Corollary 6.4.2.

Remark. While this paper was being written, it was brought to the author's attention that Erez Lapid and Mao Zhengyu had obtained an explicit form of the Whittaker function and its asymptotics on a split group G in [L-Z]. Theorem 4.2.3 is a direct corollary of their results.

They conjectured the following: Let $W(\pi)$ denote the Whittaker model of a generic representation and suppose that $\int_{Z_G N_0 \backslash G} |W(g)|^2 dg$ is finite for all $W(g) \in W(\pi)$, then π is square integrable. By Theorem 4.3.4 and Theorem 5.2.3 one concludes that this conjecture is true.

Patrick Delorme has obtained the results of this work independantly in [D1] and [D2]. However, our approach differs slightly from his treatment. We also thank Professor Delorme for pointing out a gap in the previous version of Proposition 4.3.2.

2. THE CARTAN AND IWASAWA DECOMPOSITIONS OF G

2.1. We begin by fixing a p -adic field k with ring of integers $\mathfrak{o}$ and normalized absolute value $|\cdot| = q^{-\text{val}(\cdot)}$. Let $\mathbf{G}$ be a connected quasi split reductive group defined over k . Let $G = G(k)$ be its k -rational points. Let A_0 the maximal k split torus and M_0 its (abelian) centralizer with $M_{0,0}$ the maximal compact subgroup of M_0 .

With W denoting the Weyl group of G with respect to A_0 , let $\widetilde{W}$ be the affine Weyl group extending the Weyl group W . We may identify $\widetilde{W}$ as the semidirect product of W and $D = A_0/A_0^1$ where A_0^1 is the maximal open compact subgroup of A_0 . Let Σ_{aff} and ${}^{nd}\Sigma$ denote the affine root system and set of nondivisible roots of G respectively. Let K be the special maximal open compact subgroup fixing a special point x_0 in the apartment stabilized by A_0 . Denote by Σ_0 the roots of Σ_{aff} vanishing on x_0 . If B is the subgroup of G fixing a chamber pointwise in the apartment with vertex x_0 , then B is an Iwahori subgroup. One knows that there is a bijection $\lambda: {}^{nd}\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma_0$ since every root $\alpha \in \Sigma_0$ is a *positive* multiple of a unique root in ${}^{nd}\Sigma$. We write $\lambda(\alpha)$ as $\lambda_\alpha \alpha$.

The Iwahori decomposition for G and K is

$$G = \coprod_{w \in \widetilde{W}} BwB$$

and

$$K = \coprod_{w \in W} BwB$$

respectively with both these unions disjoint.

We will write the Iwasawa decomposition of G as $N_0 A_0 K$ where N_0 is the unipotent subgroup of a choice of minimal parabolic P_0 of G containing M_0 .

Define ${}^{nd}\Sigma^+$ to be the system of positive roots determined by P_0 and let Δ denote the set of simple roots. For $\epsilon > 0$, define

$$A^+(\epsilon^{-1}) := \{a \in A_0 \mid |\alpha(a)| \leq \epsilon^{-1} \forall \alpha \in \Delta\}.$$

Writing A^+ as $A^+(1)$, we have the Cartan decomposition $G = KA^+K$.

Write $\{H_m\}_{m \geq 1}$ for the system of 'good' open compact subgroups for the topology on G (see [Sil1]). Without loss of generality we assume that if $w \in W$, then $w \notin H_i$ for any $i \geq 1$.

For each $\alpha \in \Sigma_{\text{aff}}$ define $N_0(\alpha)$ as in [Ca2]. Then for $\alpha \in {}^{nd}\Sigma^+$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ define

$$N_{\alpha,m} := N_0(\lambda(\alpha) + m).$$

We have that $N_{\alpha,m+1} \subsetneq N_{\alpha,m}$ and if $N_\alpha := \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} N_{\alpha,m}$, then

$$N_0 = \prod_{\alpha \in {}^{nd}\Sigma^+} N_\alpha$$

in any order.

Write $N_{0,m}^- = \prod_{\alpha \in {}^{nd}\Sigma^+} N_{-\alpha,m}$, $N_{0,m} = \prod_{\alpha \in {}^{nd}\Sigma^+} N_{\alpha,m}$. Then $B = N_{0,1}^- M_{0,0} N_{0,0}$. If $m \geq 0$, $H_m = N_{0,m}^-(M_0 \cap H_m)N_{0,m}$.

Set $q_\alpha = [N_0(\alpha - 1) : N_0(\alpha)]$ and $q_{\alpha/2} = q_{\alpha+1}/q_\alpha$. We remark that it is possible that $q_{\alpha/2} \neq 1$. For convenience, $q_{\alpha/2}^{\lfloor m/2 \rfloor} q_\alpha^m = [N(\alpha + 1) : N(\alpha + m + 1)]$ is shortened to ${}_a q^m$.

Fix an open compact subgroup $H = H_m$ as defined above of K . We determine a parametrization of G/H cosets in a single $N_0 \setminus G/H$ coset. Let $\{g_i\}$ be a set of coset representatives of $N_0 \setminus G/H$. By the Iwasawa decomposition we may assume $g_i = ak$ where $a \in A$ and $k \in K$ where k comes from a set of coset representatives of K/H .

Under the left action of N_0 , the stabilizer of $g_i H$ is $N_0 \cap g_i H g_i^{-1}$. Let $[N_0/N_0 \cap g_i H g_i^{-1}]$ be a set of $N_0 \cap g_i H g_i^{-1}$ -coset representatives in N_0 . Then each G/H coset in $N_0 g_i H$ is parametrized the set $[N_0/N_0 \cap g_i H g_i^{-1}]$.

Since H is normal in K , $N_0 \cap g_i H g_i^{-1} = N_0 \cap a H a^{-1} = a N_{0,m} a^{-1}$. Written component wise

$$a N_{\alpha,m} a^{-1} = N_0(\lambda(\alpha) + m + \lambda_\alpha \text{val}(\alpha(a)))$$

where we recall that λ_α is the positive multiple arising from the bijection between ${}^{nd}\Sigma$ and Σ_0 .

Now chose an integer n so that $N_{0,n} \supsetneq N_{0,m}$. Also, choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough so that if a satisfies

$$\text{val}(\alpha(a)) \geq \frac{1}{\lambda_\alpha}(-m + n)$$

for all simple roots $\alpha \in \Delta$ then $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1})$. Then $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1})$ contains the set of all $a \in A$ such that $N_0 \cap a H a^{-1} \subset N_{0,n}$.

Lemma 2.1.1. *Assume we are given a fixed $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1})$ and fixed $k \in K$. Then for any $n \in N_0 - ((N_0 \cap a H_m a^{-1}) N_{0,m})$,*

$$H_m n a k H_m \neq H_m w a' w^{-1} k H_m$$

for any $a' \in A^+$ and any $w \in W$.

Proof. Firstly we have to compute the KA^+K decomposition for the element $g = nak$ as we run over $n \in N_0$ for a fixed ak .

Fix an $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1})$ such that $N_0 \cap a H_m a^{-1} \not\subset N_{0,m}$. There are three cases.

- (1) If $n \in N_{0,0} - ((N_0 \cap a H_m a^{-1}) N_{0,m})$, then

$$g = nak = (nw)a^+(w^{-1}k)$$

where nw and $w^{-1}k$ are in K .

- (2) If $n \notin N_{0,0}$ but $a^{-1}na \in K$, then $nak = a(a^{-1}na)k = wa^+w^{-1}n_0k$ where $n_0 = a^{-1}na \in K$. As $w^{-1}n_0k \in K$, the KA^+K decomposition is

$$nak = (w)a^+(w^{-1}n_0k).$$

- (3) If $n \in N_0$ is not of the either two cases then we consider the Iwahori decomposition of (na) so that $(na)k = (b_{na}\tilde{w}b'_{na})k$ where $\tilde{w} \in \widetilde{W}$ and $b_{na}, b'_{na} \in B$. Since $\widetilde{W} = W.D$, $(na)k = (b_{na}w'a_1b'_{na})k$ where we write $\tilde{w} = w'a_1$ with $w' \in W$ and $a_1 \in D$. Since na and $a^{-1}na \notin K$, $w' \neq 1$ and $a_1 \neq 1$. Then

$$nak = (b_{na}w'a_1)a_1^+(w_1^{-1}b'_{na}k)$$

where $a_1^+ = w_1^{-1}a_1w_1$ with w_1 chosen so that $a_1^+ \in A^+$. The bracketed elements are elements in K .

For case (1), let us assume that there exists $w_0 \in W$ and $a_0 \in A^+$ such that

$$(nw)a^+(w^{-1}k) \in H_m w_0 a_0 w_0^{-1} k H_m.$$

Since the Cartan decomposition gives a disjoint union over $K\check{a}K$ where $\check{a}$ runs over $[A^+/A_0^1]$ $a^+ = a_0$ and thus $nw \in H_m w_0$. But this means that $nww_0^{-1} \in H_m$ which is impossible since $n \notin H_m$. This proves the lemma in this case.

For case (3) we argue in a similar fashion. So suppose

$$(b_{na}w'w_1)a_1^+(w_1^{-1}b'_{na}k) \in H_m w_0 a_0 w_0^{-1} k H_m.$$

As before, $a_1^+ = a_0$ so that $b_1w'w_1 \in H_m w_0$. We may as well assume $b_1 \in H_m$ implying that $w'_1 = w_0$. Now on the other hand

$$w_1^{-1}b'_{na}k \in w_0^{-1}kH_m$$

implying that

$$w_1^{-1}b'_{na} \in w_0^{-1}H_m.$$

Once again, we may assume $b'_{na} \in H_m$ so that $w_0 = w_1$. Thus $w' = 1$ which is a contradiction.

Finally in order to apply the argument of the previous cases above to case (2), we must exclude the possibility that n_0 is in H_m .

Indeed, if $n_0 \in H_m$, we will derive a contradiction. Write the component of an arbitrary $n \in N_0$ in N_α as n_α . Since $a^{-1}na \in K$, $n_\alpha \in N_\alpha$ is contained in at most $N_{\alpha, \lambda_\alpha \text{val}(\alpha(a))}$. However by our assumption on n_0 , $n_\alpha \in N_{\alpha, \lambda_\alpha \text{val}(\alpha(a))}$ satisfies $a^{-1}n_\alpha a \in N_{\alpha, m}$ for all roots $\alpha \in {}^{nd}\Sigma^+$. This implies that $n_\alpha \in N_{\alpha, \lambda_\alpha \text{val}(\alpha(a)) + m}$ i.e. $n \in N_0 \cap aHa^{-1}$. This contradicts the hypothesis of the lemma.

If $a \in A^+$ then $N_{0,m} \supset N_0 \cap aHa^{-1}$ so that for any $n \notin N_{0,m}$, nak is not in the same H_m -bicoset of as $wa'w^{-1}k$ for any $a' \in A^+$ and any $w \in W$. $\square$

3. PARABOLIC SUBGROUPS, TORI AND SCHWARTZ SPACES

3.1. We introduce the notion of standard parabolic subgroups and define a dense subspace of $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ which we will study in this paper.

Fix a minimal parabolic subgroup P_0 as in the previous section. A *standard parabolic pair* is a pair (P, A) consisting of a parabolic subgroup $G \supset P \supset P_0$ and $A_0 \supset A \supset Z_G$ where Z_G denotes the (split component of the) center of G .

It is known that all such pairs are in one-to-one correspondence with subsets of Δ . By abuse of notation we identify (P, A) with P and write this correspondence as

$$\theta \mapsto P_\theta$$

where we agree that $P_\emptyset = P_0$ and $P_\Delta = G$. It is well known that any standard parabolic corresponds to a subset $\theta \subset \Delta$. Conversely, to each subset θ , one can associate a standard parabolic P_θ , M_θ , its Levi and If P_θ is a standard parabolic, we write its Langlands decomposition as $P_\theta = M_\theta N_\theta$. Where there is no cause for confusion, we will drop the θ from notation. Moreover where the context is clear, N_0 always denotes $N_\emptyset$.

A smooth unitary character ψ of N_0 is said to be nondegenerate if and only if for any $\alpha \in \Delta$, ψ restricted to N_α is non-trivial.

3.2. Let δ_P denote the modular character of the parabolic subgroup P . By the Iwasawa decomposition $G = N_\theta M_\theta K$, we write $g \in G$ as $g = n_{P_\theta}(g)m_{P_\theta}(g)k(g)$.

We define the constant

$$\gamma(P_\theta) = \int_{\bar{N}_\theta} \delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}(m_{P_\theta}(\bar{n})) d\bar{n}$$

where $\bar{N}_\theta$ denotes the unipotent subgroup of the opposite parabolic of P_θ . (c.f. [Wal, pg. 240].) It is known that $\gamma(P_\theta)$ does not depend on the choice of N_θ used to define it. Thus we may write $\gamma(P_\theta) = \gamma(G|M_\theta)$. If $\alpha \in {}^{nd}\Sigma(P_\theta, A_\theta)$, let A_α denote the (identity component) of the kernel of α and M_α the centralizer of A_α in G . Then $M_\alpha \supset M_\theta$ for every root $\alpha \in {}^{nd}\Sigma(P_\theta, A_\theta)$. Define

$$c(G|M_\theta) = \gamma(G|M_\theta)^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in {}^{nd}\Sigma(P_\theta, A_\theta)} \gamma(M_\alpha|M_\theta).$$

If (π, V) is a unitary representation, let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\pi$ denote the Hermitian inner product on V .

Lemma 3.2.1. *If (σ, H_σ) is any unitary representation then $I_{\bar{P}_\theta}^G \sigma = I(\sigma)$ is a unitarily induced representation from $\bar{P}_\theta$ to G . Furthermore for any $u, v \in I(\sigma)$*

$$\int_K \langle u(k), v(k) \rangle_\sigma dk = \gamma(G|M_\theta)^{-1} \int_{N_\theta} \langle u(n), v(n) \rangle_\sigma dn.$$

Proof. For the purposes of this proof, $\text{Ind}_P^G \sigma$ will denote unnormalized induction instead of the usual normalized induction. Recall the integral decomposition formula (c.f. [Wal, pg. 240]).

$$\int_G f(g) dg = \gamma(G|M)^{-1} \int_{N \times M \times \bar{N}} f(nm\bar{n}) \delta_P^{-1}(m) d\bar{n} dm dn$$

for any parabolic subgroup P and $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

For any $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$ let $P_{\delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}}(f)$ denote the projection of f into $\text{Ind}_{\bar{P}_\theta}^G \delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}$. This is given by

$$\int_{\bar{P}_\theta} \delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}^{-1}(p) f(p) dp.$$

Let

$$I_{N_\theta}(w) := \int_{N_\theta} w(n) dn$$

for any $w \in \text{Ind}_{\bar{P}_\theta}^G \delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}$ provided this integral converges.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} I_{N_\theta} P_{\delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}} f(n) &= \int_{N_\theta} \int_{\bar{P}_\theta} \delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}^{-1}(p) f(pn) dp dn \\ &= \int_{\bar{N}_\theta} \int_{M_\theta} \int_{N_\theta} \delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}^{-1}(m) f(\bar{n}mn) dn dm d\bar{n} \\ &= \gamma(G|M_\theta) \int_{\bar{N}_\theta} \int_{M_\theta} \int_K \delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}^{-1}(m) f(\bar{n}mk) dk dm dn \\ &= \gamma(G|M_\theta) \int_K P_{\delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}}(f)(k) dk. \end{aligned}$$

We may choose f such that $P_{\delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}}(f)(k) = \langle u(k), v(k) \rangle_\sigma$ proving the lemma. $\square$

3.3. Let $\Sigma(P_0, A_0)$ denote the set of all positive roots of G with respect to P_0 . The resulting roots by restricting to A_θ is denoted $\Sigma(P_\theta, A_\theta)$.

Define $\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathbb{R}} := (\text{Hom}_k(A_0, k^\times) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R})^*$ where $*$ denotes the real dual of the vector space. Letting A_θ denote the center of M_θ we may define in an analogous fashion, the vector space $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{R}}$. Then there is a canonical decomposition

$$\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathbb{R}} = \mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{R}} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{\mathbb{R}}^\theta$$

realizing $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{R}}$ as a subspace of $\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathbb{R}}$. The complexified vector spaces are denoted $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{C}}$.

Let

$$\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathbb{R}}^+ := \{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathbb{R}} \mid \forall \alpha \in \Sigma(P_0, A_0), \langle \alpha, H \rangle > 0\}.$$

We call this the (open) positive chamber of $\mathfrak{a}_{0,\mathbb{R}}$ with respect to P_0 . Similarly we define

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{R}}^+ := \{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{R}} \mid \forall \alpha \in \Sigma(P_\theta, A_\theta), \langle \alpha, H \rangle > 0\}.$$

Define the ‘log’ map $H_{M_\theta} : M_\theta \rightarrow \mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{R}}$ where $H_{M_\theta}(m)$ is the element of $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{R}}$ such that

$$q^{-\langle \nu, H_{M_\theta}(m) \rangle} = |\nu(m)|$$

for all $\nu \in \text{Hom}_k(M_\theta, k^\times)$. Let $M_\theta^+ := H_{M_\theta}^{-1}(\mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{R}}^+)$ and $A_\theta^+ := M_\theta^+ \cap A_\theta$.

We describe a partition of A_0^+ . For any $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$, define

$$A_0^+(\theta, \epsilon) = \{a \in A_0^+ \mid |\alpha(a)| \leq \epsilon \forall \alpha \in \Delta - \theta, \epsilon < |\alpha(a)| \leq 1 \forall \alpha \in \theta\}.$$

Then we have a disjoint union

$$A_0^+ = \bigcup_{\theta} A_0^+(\theta, \epsilon).$$

3.4. Let $\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ denote the group of continuous homomorphisms from G to $\mathbb{C}^\times$. If $\chi \in \text{Hom}_k(G, k^\times)$ then $|\chi|_k$ is defined by $|\chi|_k(g) = |\chi(g)|_k$. Let $G^1 := \bigcap_{\chi} \text{Ker} |\chi|_k$ and let $X_{ur}(G) := \text{Hom}(G/G^1, \mathbb{C}^\times)$. We call this set of characters the set of *unramified* characters of G . These definitions apply to any Levi subgroup M_θ of any standard proper parabolic subgroups of G by replacing G with M_θ .

There is a surjection from $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{C}}^*$ onto $X_{ur}(M_\theta)$ defined by $\chi \otimes s \mapsto (g \mapsto |\chi(g)|^s)$. If $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{C}}^*$, the corresponding character in $X_{ur}(M_\theta)$ is denoted χ_ν . The kernel of this map is a lattice of the form $(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/\log q)R$ where R is a lattice of $\text{Hom}_k(M_\theta, k^\times) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}$. This endows $X_{ur}(M_\theta)$ with the structure of a complex algebraic variety isomorphic to $(\mathbb{C}^\times)^d$ where d is the dimension of $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{R}}$.

Given $\chi \in X_{ur}(M_\theta)$, suppose that $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta,\mathbb{C}}^*$ projects to χ . We define $\Re\chi = \Re\lambda$. This is well defined as $\Re\lambda$ is independent of the choice of λ . If $\chi \in \text{Hom}(A_\theta, \mathbb{C}^\times)$, then the character $|\chi|$ extends uniquely to an element of $X_{ur}(M_\theta)$ taking positive real values. Set $\Re\chi = \Re|\chi|$.

Consider the group $\text{Im}X(A_\theta)$ consisting of characters of $\chi \in \text{Hom}(A_\theta, \mathbb{C}^\times)$ satisfying $\Re\chi = 0$. Then there is a surjection with finite kernel of $\text{Im}X_{ur}(M_\theta)$ onto $\text{Im}X(A_\theta)$. The latter group is compact and we give it the Haar measure normalized so that its total mass is 1. We pull back the measure on $\text{Im}X(A_\theta)$ to a measure on $\text{Im}X_{ur}(M_\theta)$ denoting this as $d\nu$.

3.5. Let $\Xi(g)$ the *zonal spherical function* of G and Ξ^M for the same for each standard Levi subgroups M of G .

Now consider a k -rational representation of G into some $GL(n)$ with compact kernel. By pulling back to G , define $\|g\| := \sup\{|g_{ij}|, |(g^{-1})_{ij}|\}$ and $\sigma(g) := \log_q \|g\|$ and $\sigma_*(g) := \inf_{z \in Z_G} \sigma(gz)$ where Z_G denotes the center of G . By construction, σ_* is subadditive and identically zero on the maximal compact subgroup K .

Let $C(H_j \setminus G/H_j; \chi)$ denote the space of H_j bi-invariant smooth complex valued functions on G with Z_G acting by a unitary character χ . For any $f \in C(H_j \setminus G/H_j)$ define

$$q_{1,r}(f) := \sup_{g \in G} f(g) \Xi^{-1}(g) (1 + \sigma_*(g))^r$$

where $r > 0$. Define

$$\mathcal{C}^*(G; \chi) := \bigcup_j \{f \in C(H_j \setminus G/H_j; \chi) \mid q_{1,n}(f) < \infty \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

Then $\{q_{1,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a family of continuous seminorms making $\mathcal{C}^*(G) = \mathcal{C}^*(G; \chi)$ into a Frechet space. It is well known that $\mathcal{C}^*(G; \chi)$ is dense (with respect to the L^2 norm) in $L^2(G; \chi)$.

Let ψ be a nondegenerate character of N_0 . Define $C(N_0 \setminus G/H_j; \psi)$ to be the space of right H_j invariant complex valued functions of G such that $f/ng = \psi(n)f(g)$ for all $n \in N_0$ and $g \in G$. We agree that the center Z_G of G acts by character χ and suppress this from subsequent notation.

Consider any $f \in C(N_0 \setminus G/H_k)$. By the Iwasawa decomposition $G = N_0 M_0 K$ write $g = n_0(g)m_0(g)k(g)$ with $m(g) \in M_0$, $n_0(g) \in N_0$ and $k(g) \in K$. Although $m_0(g)$ is only defined up to $M_0 \cap K$, $\delta_{P_0}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m) = 1$ for any $m \in M_0 \cap K$ implying that for any $r > 0$,

$$q_{2,r}(f) := \sup_{g \in G} f(g) \delta_{P_0}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m_0(g)) (1 + \sigma_*(g))^r$$

is well defined.

Now define the space

$$\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi) := \bigcup_j \{f \in C(N_0 \setminus G/H_j; \psi) \mid q_{2,n}(f) < \infty \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

As before the set $\{q_{2,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ forms a family of continuous seminorms on $\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ making it a Frechet space. It is dense in $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.

Let $P = P_\theta$ be a proper standard parabolic subgroup of G with M_θ its Levi subgroup and writing $M_\theta \cap N_0$ as N_* . Write $\sigma_*^{M_\theta}(m) := \inf_{a \in A_\theta} \sigma(ma)$. This generalizes σ_* defined earlier. To be precise, $\sigma_* = \sigma_*^G$.

We define

$$\begin{aligned} q_{1,r}^{M_\theta}(f) &:= \sup_{m \in M_\theta} f(m) \Xi^{M_\theta}(m)^{-1} (1 + \sigma_*^{M_\theta}(m))^r, \\ q_{2,r}^{M_\theta}(f) &:= \sup_{m \in M_\theta} f(m) \delta_{P_0 \cap M_\theta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m_\theta(m)) (1 + \sigma_*^{M_\theta}(m))^r. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$q_{2,r}^{G; M_\theta}(f) := \sup_{m \in M_\theta} f(m) \delta_{P_0 \cap M_\theta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m_\theta(m)) (1 + \sigma_*^G(m))^r.$$

Define the following Frechet spaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^*(M_\theta) &:= \bigcup_j \{f \in C(H_j \cap M_\theta \setminus M_\theta/M_\theta \cap H_j) \mid q_{1,n}^{M_\theta}(f) < \infty \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \\ \mathcal{C}^*(N_* \setminus M_\theta; \psi) &:= \bigcup_j \{f \in C(N_* \setminus M_\theta/M_\theta \cap H_j; \psi^\theta) \mid q_{2,n}^{M_\theta}(f) < \infty \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}^*(G; N_* \backslash M_\theta; \psi) := \bigcup_j \{f \in C(N_* \backslash M_\theta / M_\theta \cap H_j; \psi^\theta) \mid q_{2,n}^{G; M_\theta}(f) < \infty \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

where $\psi^\theta =: \text{Res}_{N_*}^{N_\theta}(\psi)$. Then we have an inclusion

$$\mathcal{C}^*(G; N_* \backslash M_\theta; \psi^\theta) \subset \mathcal{C}^*(N_* \backslash M_\theta; \psi^\theta).$$

We will refer to these spaces generically as *Schwartz spaces*.

4. WHITTAKER FUNCTIONS AND THE HARISH-CHANDRA TRANSFORM

4.1. Let (π, V) be a smooth finitely generated representation of G and V' denote the algebraic complex linear dual of V . Define $(\pi'(g)\lambda)(v) = \lambda(\pi(g^{-1})v)$ and let $V^\vee$ denote the smooth points of π' . Let $\pi^\vee$ be the representation obtained by restricting π' to $V^\vee$. Then $(\pi^\vee, V^\vee)$ is a smooth representation of G .

Now consider a fixed $\lambda \in V'$ and write

$$W(v, \lambda)(g) := \lambda(\pi(g)v)$$

with $v \in V$. Since V is smooth, $W(v, \lambda)$ is K_j invariant on the right for some open compact subgroup. Note that if $\tilde{v} \in V^\vee$, then $W(v, \tilde{v})(g)$ is a matrix coefficient of V .

Fix *any* (not necessarily nondegenerate) character ψ of N_0 . Fix a standard parabolic subgroup $P_\theta = P = MN$ of G corresponding to $\theta \subset \Delta$ (P possibly equals to G) for the discussion throughout this subsection. Let N_* and ψ^θ be the unipotent subgroup and character defined as in the previous section. Then define

$$V(N_*, \psi^\theta) := \text{span}\{\pi(n)v - \psi^\theta(n)v \mid v \in V, \ n \in N_*\}$$

and

$$V(N) := \text{span}\{\pi(n)v - v \mid v \in V, \ n \in N\}.$$

Define vector spaces

$$V_{N_*, \psi^\theta} = V / V(N_*, \psi^\theta)$$

and

$$V_N = V / V(N).$$

Then

$$r_P^G(V) = V_N \otimes \delta_P^{-1/2}$$

is the Jacquet restriction functor sending smooth, finitely generated admissible representations of G to smooth, finitely generated admissible representations of M .

Now we require ψ to be a nondegenerate character. Define

$$Wh_\psi(V) := \text{Hom}_{N_0}(\pi, \mathbb{C}_\psi).$$

A representation π is said to be ψ -generic if $Wh_\psi(V)$ is nontrivial. Now assume that this is the case for π and consider any nonzero $\lambda \in Wh_\psi(V)$ and nonzero $v \in V$. Then $W(v, \lambda)(g)$ is not identically zero as a function on G and satisfies

$$W(v, \lambda)(ng) = \psi(n)W(v, \lambda)(g)$$

for any $g \in G$ and $n \in N_0$.

We say that $W(v, \lambda)$ is a *Whittaker function* and $W(v, \lambda)(1) = \lambda(v)$ a *Whittaker functional*. All these can be generalized to Levi subgroups of G as well, by substituting G for M , N_0 for N_* and ψ for ψ^θ .

Let Φ_θ be the canonical map from $(V_{N_\theta})_{N_*, \psi^\theta}$ to $V_{N_0, \psi}$ introduced in [C-S] (see also [Ca1]). If $v \in V$, we write $\tilde{v}$ for its image in $(V_{N_\theta})_{N_*, \psi^\theta}$. Recall the following lemma of Casselman. (See [C-S, Proposition 6.3 and 6.4]).

Lemma 4.1.1. *Fix a standard parabolic subgroup P_θ of G . Let $\lambda \in Wh_\psi(V)$ and $v \in V$. Then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that*

$$W(v, \lambda)(a) = W(\tilde{v}, \lambda \circ \Phi_\theta)(a)$$

for any $a \in A_0$ satisfying $|\alpha(a)| < \epsilon \ \forall \alpha \in \Delta - \theta$.

Notice that when $\theta = \emptyset$, this is Proposition 6.3 in [C-S].

4.2. For a fixed but arbitrary $\theta \subset \Delta$, consider an A_θ -finite complex valued smooth function f on A_θ . Then it is well known that

$$f(a) = \sum_{\nu} \nu(a) P(H_{M_\theta}(a))$$

where $P(x)$ is a polynomial on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta, \mathbb{R}}$ of A_θ and ν a smooth character of A_θ . The characters occurring in the decomposition above are known as the *exponents* of f . Let $E(A_\theta, f)$ denote the set of exponents of f .

Lemma 4.2.1. [Ca1, Proposition 4.4.4] *Let $\theta \subset \Delta, \epsilon \in (0, 1]$ and $p > 0$. Let $f : A_0^+(\theta, \epsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a complex valued function such that*

- (1) *f is the restriction to $A_0^+(\theta, \epsilon)$ of an A_θ -finite function;*
- (2) *the center of G , Z_G acts by a unitary character on f and*
- (3) *f is invariant under right translation by some open subgroup A_{K_i} of A_0^1 .*

Then $|f|^p$ is integrable on $A_0^+(\theta, \epsilon)/A_{K_i}Z_G$ if and only if $|\chi(a)| < 1$ for all $a \in A_\theta^+$ and each $\chi \in E(A_\theta, f)$.

It is well known that if a representation of G , (π, V) is smooth and admissible, then so is V_N . Thus the center of M , A , acts locally finitely on V_N so that

$$V_N = \bigoplus_{\nu} (V_N)_{\nu}$$

as generalized eigenspaces where ν are smooth characters of A . The set of all characters appearing in this decomposition are called *exponents* of V with respect to P denoted $E(P, V)$. If V is finitely generated, this set is finite.

An irreducible smooth representation is said to be a *discrete series* (resp. *tempered*) representation of G if the center acts by a unitary character and its matrix coefficients (modulo the center) are in $L^2(G)$ (resp. $L^{2+\epsilon}(G)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$). The following result is well known (see [Wal, Proposition III.1.1 and Proposition III.2.2]).

Proposition 4.2.2. *Suppose (π, V) is a discrete series representation (resp. tempered representation) of G . Then it is necessary and sufficient that for every standard parabolic subgroup P and every $\nu \in E(P, V)$, $|\delta_P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a)\nu(a)| < 1$ (resp. $|\delta_P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a)\nu(a)| \leq 1$) for all $a \in A^+$.*

□

Theorem 4.2.3. *Let ψ be a nondegenerate additive unitary character of N_0 and let (π, V) be an irreducible ψ -generic discrete series representation, then V embeds into $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.*

Proof. Any Whittaker function is of the form $W(v, \lambda)(g)$ for $\lambda \in (V_{N_0, \psi})'$. Now choose K_i as the largest open compact subgroup of K such that

$$\int_{K_i} \pi(k)v \, dk = v'$$

does not vanish. Let $[K/K_i]$ denote a (finite) set of coset representatives of K/K_i . Then for each $k_j \in [K/K_i]$, set $v'_j = k_j v'$.

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{N_0 \setminus G/Z_G} |W(g)|^2 d\bar{g} &= \int_{A_0/A_0^1 Z_G} \int_K \delta_0^{-1}(a) |W(ak)|^2 da dk \\ &= \int_{A_0/A_0^1 Z_G} \sum_{k_j \in [K/K_i]} \int_{K_i} \delta_0^{-1}(a) |W(ak_j k_i)|^2 da dk_i \\ &= \int_{A_0/A_0^1 Z_G} \sum_j \delta_0^{-1}(a) |W(v'_j, \lambda)(a)|^2 da. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the finiteness of that integral depends upon the square integrability of $W(v'_j, \lambda)(a)$ on $A_0/A_0^1 Z_G$. Since ψ is nondegenerate, we observe that $W(v'_j, \lambda)(a)$ is supported inside a translate of A_0^+ ([C-S, Proposition 6.1]). By replacing v'_j with $\pi(a_j)v'_j$ for some suitable $a_j \in A_0$, we may even assume that this support is contained in A_0^+ .

Choose $\epsilon = \min\{\epsilon_\theta\}_\theta$ where ϵ_θ is obtained by applying Lemma 4.1.1 to P_θ (with $\theta \subset \Delta$). Recall that A_0^+ is partitioned into $\bigcup_\theta A_0^+(\theta, \epsilon)$. We let $f(a) := \delta_0^{-1/2}(a) \cdot W(v', \lambda)(a)$ and restrict $f(a)$ to each partition so that we may then apply Lemma 4.2.1 with $p = 2$.

We must check all three conditions of this lemma. Condition (2) is clear and (3) is satisfied by $A_{K_i} = A_0^1$. As π is admissible, so is V_N and thus A_θ acts locally finitely on $(V_{N_\theta})_{N_*, \psi^\theta}$. Therefore $W(\tilde{v}', \lambda \circ \Phi_\theta)(a)$ satisfies condition (1).

Clearly the exponents of $W(\tilde{v}', \lambda \circ \Phi_\theta)(a)$ are in $E(P_\theta, V)$. By Proposition 4.2.2, this will imply the square integrability of $W(v', \lambda)$ in A_0^+ . This proves the theorem. $\square$

We introduce the notion of moderate growth for functions on $N_0 \setminus G$. Define $\mathcal{A}(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ to be the union over all open compact subgroups K_i of G of subspaces of functions in $C(N_0 \setminus G/K_i; \psi)$ defined by the following growth condition: There exists a constant $C > 0$ and $r > 0$ such that

$$|f(g)| \leq C \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(m_0(g)) (1 + \sigma_*(g))^r.$$

Proposition 4.2.4. *Assume that (π, V) is a tempered and ψ -generic irreducible representation of G . Then for any $v \in V$ and $\lambda \in Wh_\psi(V)$, $W(v, \lambda) \in \mathcal{A}(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.*

Proof. We know that if π is tempered then for each standard parabolic subgroup P_θ and exponent $\nu \in E(\theta, V)$, $|\delta_P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a)\nu(a)| \leq 1$ by [Wal, Proposition III.2.2]. If this inequality was strict for all parabolic subgroups and all exponents, then π is a discrete series and hence by (the proof of) Theorem 4.2.3, $W(v, \lambda)$ is in $\mathcal{A}(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. Thus we assume that for some parabolic subgroup, P_θ , there exists an exponent such that $|\delta_P^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a)\nu(a)| = 1$. In this case, using Lemma 4.1.1 and arguing as in Theorem 4.3.4 we obtain the result. $\square$

4.3. If P is a parabolic subgroup of G , then $\bar{P}$ will denote its opposite parabolic subgroup. Given $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ define the *Harish-Chandra* transform

$$(4.3.1) \quad f^P(m) = \delta_P^{1/2}(m) \int_{\bar{N}} f(\bar{n}m) d\bar{n} = \delta_{\bar{P}}^{1/2}(m) \int_{\bar{N}} f(m\bar{n}) d\bar{n}.$$

Lemma 4.3.1. *Let P_θ be a standard parabolic subgroup and N_θ its unipotent subgroup. For any $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)$, there exists a continuous seminorm $q(f)$ such that*

$$\int_{N_0 \times \bar{N}_\theta} |f(n_0 \bar{n})| dn_0 d\bar{n} < q(f).$$

Proof. Using the Iwasawa decomposition, write $\bar{n} = n_0(\bar{n})a_0(\bar{n})k(\bar{n})$. Then the integral can be written as

$$\int_{N_0 \times \bar{N}_\theta} |f(n_0 a_0(\bar{n})k(\bar{n}))| dn_0 d\bar{n}.$$

By [Wal, Proposition II.4.5], we know that for any integer $d > 0$, one can find an integer $r > 0$ (and seminorm $q_{1,r}(f)$) such that this integral is majorized by

$$\int_{\bar{N}_\theta} \delta_0(a_0(\bar{n}))^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \sigma_*(a_0(\bar{n})))^{-d} d\bar{n}.$$

But we know by [Wal, Lemme II.4.2] that this integral converges for sufficiently large d . $\square$

Proposition 4.3.2. *The integral in (4.3.1) converges absolutely and uniformly over compact sets in M , $f^P \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \cap M \setminus M; \psi)$ and $f \mapsto f^P$ is continuous in the topology induced by seminorms on $\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ and $\mathcal{C}^*(G; N_0 \cap M \setminus M; \psi)$.*

Proof. Write $\bar{n}m = n(\bar{n}m)a(\bar{n}m)k(\bar{n}m)$ using the Iwasawa decomposition. If we write $m \in M$ as $m = n_1 m_1 k_1$ where $n_1 \in N_0 \cap M$, $m_1 \in A_0 \cap M$ and $k_1 \in K \cap M$, then

$$\bar{n}m = \bar{n}n_1 m_1 k_1 = n_1 m_1 \cdot ((n_1 m_1)^{-1} \bar{n} (n_1 m_1)) k_1.$$

This implies that

$$a(\bar{n}m) = m_1 a((n_1 m_1)^{-1} \bar{n} (n_1 m_1))$$

and

$$n(\bar{n}m) = n_1 m_1 n((n_1 m_1)^{-1} \bar{n} (n_1 m_1)) m_1^{-1}.$$

Let $\bar{\mathbf{n}} = (n_1 m_1)^{-1} \bar{n} (n_1 m_1)$ so that

$$n(\bar{n}m)a(\bar{n}m) = n_1 m_1 n(\bar{\mathbf{n}})a(\bar{\mathbf{n}}).$$

Note that $n_1 m_1 \in M$ and $\bar{\mathbf{n}} \in \bar{N}$.

By hypothesis, we fix a compact set $S \subset M$ and prove the convergence of $f^P(m)$ as m varies over S . Let $C = \max(1 + \sigma_*(n_1 m_1))^d$. Then we claim that

$$1 \leq \left(\frac{1 + \sigma_*(n_1 m_1 n(\bar{\mathbf{n}})a(\bar{\mathbf{n}}))}{1 + \sigma_*(n(\bar{\mathbf{n}})a(\bar{\mathbf{n}}))} \right)^d \leq C$$

for all $\bar{n} \in \bar{N}$ with $\sigma_*(\bar{\mathbf{n}})$ sufficiently large.

Indeed, the right hand side of the inequality follows easily from the subadditivity of σ_* . To prove the left hand side of the inequality we first note that

$$\sigma_*(n_1 m_1 n(\bar{\mathbf{n}})a(\bar{\mathbf{n}})) = \sigma_*(n_1 m_1 n(\bar{\mathbf{n}})a(\bar{\mathbf{n}})k(\bar{\mathbf{n}})) = \sigma_*(n_1 m_1 \bar{\mathbf{n}})$$

as σ_* is K invariant. Now by a lemma of Waldspurger ([Wal, Lemme II.3.1]), $1 + \sigma_*(n_1 m_1 \bar{\mathbf{n}})$ is bounded below by $1 + \sup\{\sigma_*(n_1 m_1), \sigma_*(\bar{\mathbf{n}})\}$. Since $\sigma_*(n_1 m_1)$ is bounded, the claim follows immediately.

With this, we may choose a suitable positive constant A , so that

$$C \leq A \left(\frac{1 + \sigma_*(n_1 m_1 n(\bar{\mathbf{n}}) a(\bar{\mathbf{n}}))}{1 + \sigma_*(n(\bar{\mathbf{n}}) a(\bar{\mathbf{n}}))} \right)^d$$

implying that

$$(1 + \sigma_*(n_1 m_1))^d (1 + \sigma_*(n_1 m_1 n(\bar{\mathbf{n}}) a(\bar{\mathbf{n}})))^{-d} \leq A (1 + \sigma_*(n(\bar{\mathbf{n}}) a(\bar{\mathbf{n}})))^{-d}.$$

A function $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ satisfies

$$|f(\bar{n}m)| < \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(a(\bar{n}m)) (1 + \sigma_*(n(\bar{n}m) a(\bar{n}m)))^{-d} q_{2,d}(f).$$

Then from the discussion above, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m_1) (1 + \sigma_*(n_1 m_1))^d |f(\bar{n}m)| &< \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(a(\bar{\mathbf{n}})) (1 + \sigma_*(n(\bar{\mathbf{n}}) a(\bar{\mathbf{n}})))^{-d} q_{2,d}(f) \\ &= \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(a(\bar{\mathbf{n}})) (1 + \sigma_*(\bar{\mathbf{n}}))^{-d} q_{2,d}(f). \end{aligned}$$

For $m \in S$

$$(4.3.2) \quad \delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m_1) (1 + \sigma_*(n_1 m_1))^d \int_{\bar{N}_\theta} |f(\bar{n}m)| d\bar{n}$$

is majorized by a constant multiple of

$$(4.3.3) \quad \int_{\bar{N}_\theta} \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(a(\bar{\mathbf{n}})) (1 + \sigma_*(\bar{\mathbf{n}}))^{-d} d\bar{\mathbf{n}} = \delta_P^{-1}(m_1) \int_{\bar{N}_\theta} \delta_0^{\frac{1}{2}}(a(\bar{n})) (1 + \sigma_*(\bar{n}))^{-d} d\bar{n}.$$

By [Wal, Lemme II.4.2], the right hand side is finite for some sufficiently large d . This proves the first assertion of the lemma. From (4.3.2) and (4.3.3), we have also that

$$\delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) |f^P(m)| < c \cdot \delta_{M \cap P_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}(m_1) (1 + \sigma_*(n_1 m_1))^{-d} < c' \cdot \delta_{M \cap P_0}^{\frac{1}{2}}(m_1) (1 + \sigma_*(m))^{-d}$$

where we recall that $m = n_1 m_1 k_1$ proving the second assertion. Now the continuity of the Harish-Chandra transform is immediate from this proof. $\square$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} {}^\circ \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi) &= \{f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi) \mid (R(k)f)^P \equiv 0 \\ &\quad \text{for all } k \in K \text{ and for all parabolic subgroups } P = P_\theta, \theta \subsetneq \Delta\}. \end{aligned}$$

We refer to this space as the space of *discrete* functions. By the Iwasawa decomposition this space is stable under right translation by the full group G .

Let $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ and V_f be the space spanned by right translates of G and V_{f^P} the space generated by right translates of M acting on f^P in $\mathcal{C}^*(G; N_0 \cap M \setminus M; \psi)$.

Lemma 4.3.3. *The representation space V_{f^P} is a quotient of $r_P^G(V_f)$.*

Proof. It is easy to check from definition that $(R(m)f)^P = \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) R(m)f^P$.

Next, let $\bar{N}$ be the unipotent subgroup opposite of P and consider the space $V_f(\bar{N})$. If $h \in V_f(\bar{N})$, then

$$\int_{\bar{N}_0} R(n)h = 0$$

for some open compact subgroup $\bar{N}_0$ of $\bar{N}$. In particular, $V_f(\bar{N})$ is contained in the kernel of $f \mapsto f^P$. The lemma follows from these two observations. $\square$

Theorem 4.3.4. *Let (π, V) be a smooth irreducible representation of G which embeds into $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. Then the image of V under this embedding is in ${}^\circ \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.*

Proof. Let T be the embedding map and define $W(v, T)(g) = T(\pi(g)v)(1)$. Then $W(v, T)$ is a Whittaker function (with $(Tv)(1)$ considered a Whittaker functional). We note that $W(v, T)$ is smooth while ψ is nondegenerate and therefore supported in a translate of A_0^+ . By Lemma 4.1.1, the restriction of $W(v, T)$ on each partition $A_0^+(\theta, \epsilon)$ coincides with some A_θ -finite function. Thus conditions (1) to (3) of Lemma 4.2.1 are satisfied and we may conclude that the exponents (of the A_θ -finite function) must satisfy $|\delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a)\nu(a)| < 1$ for every $a \in A_0^+(\theta, \epsilon)$ as $W(v, T)$ is also square integrable.

Since

$$W(v, T)(a) = \tilde{W}(\tilde{v}, T \circ \Phi_\theta)(a) = \sum_{\nu} \nu(a) P(H_{M_\theta}(a))$$

and we know that $|P(H_{M_\theta}(a))| \in O((1 + \sigma_*(a))^n)$ for some integer n (see [Wal, pg. 242]), there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$|\delta_0^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a)W(v, T)(a)| < C(1 + \sigma_*(a))^{-r}$$

for all positive integers r . Applying this same argument to each partition will imply that $W(v, T)$ is in $\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ by definition of the Schwartz space.

Now Jacquet restriction preserves admissibility. Thus by Lemma 4.3.3, $W(v, T)^P$ is an A -finite function. However, we know that $W(v, T)^P \in \mathcal{C}^*(G; N_0 \cap M \setminus M; \psi)$. This certainly contradicts the decay properties of $W(v, T)^P$ unless $W(v, T)^P$ is identically zero or $P = G$. This proves the theorem. $\square$

4.4. We end this section by giving an application of this theory to automorphic forms. In this, we will extend a result of Savin, Khare and Larsen [KLS]. By an integrable discrete series representation of G , we mean a discrete series representation which has matrix coefficients in $L^1(G) \cap L^2(G)$.

Theorem 4.4.1. *Let G be an almost simple, quasi-split algebraic group defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Fix two finite and disjoint sets of places: D contains ∞ and perhaps nothing else, and S is a non-empty set of primes such that G is unramified at all primes p not contained in $D \cup S$. Let ψ be a nondegenerate character of $N_0(\mathbb{A})$ trivial of $N_0(\mathbb{Q})$. Suppose that π'_∞ is a ψ -generic integrable discrete series of $G(\mathbb{R})$, **and for every q in D , π'_q is a ψ -generic square integrable representation**, then there exists a global ψ -generic cuspidal representation π such that $\pi_\infty \cong \pi'_\infty$, $\pi_q \cong \pi'_q$ for every q in D and π_p is unramified for every p outside $D \cup S$.*

Proof. We observe that the crux of the matter in the proof of Theorem 4.5 in paper [KLS] is the fact that $W(v, \lambda)$ satisfy certain bounds, namely there exists a C such that

$$|W(v, \lambda)(g)| \leq C\delta_0^{1/2}(a(g))(1 + \sigma_*(g))^{-r} \quad \forall r \geq 0.$$

or in other words, the Whittaker functions are located in the Schwartz space of $N_0 \setminus G$. However, this follows immediately from Theorems 4.2.3 and 4.3.4. $\square$

5. THE DISCRETE SPECTRUM OF $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$

5.1. Take any $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)$ and consider the *Harish-Chandra* transform of f defined by the following (absolutely convergent) integral:

$$f^P(m) = \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) \int_{\bar{N}} f(\bar{n}m) d\bar{n}$$

where $P = MN$ is a standard parabolic subgroup of G . Then it is well known that f^P is in $\mathcal{C}^*(M)$.

We give a brief overview of the decomposition of $\mathcal{C}^*(G)$ into its various constituents. It is known that $\mathcal{C}^*(G) = \mathcal{C}_{disc}^*(G) \oplus \mathcal{C}_{cont}^*(G)$ where $\mathcal{C}_{disc}^*(G)$ is the space of functions whose Harish-Chandra transform f^P is identically zero for all proper standard parabolic subgroups, P .

To describe the space $\mathcal{C}_{cont}^*(G)$ (see also [Wal, pg. 302]), let M_θ be the Levi subgroup of a standard parabolic associated to $\theta \in \Delta$. Consider the smooth normalized induced representations of G , $(\pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}, I_{\bar{P}_\theta}^G \sigma \boxtimes \chi_\nu)$ where $\chi_\nu \in X_{ur}(M_\theta)$ ($\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta, \mathbb{C}}^*$) and σ is a fixed discrete series representation of M_θ . When the context is clear, we write $I(\sigma)_\tau$ to denote the space in $\text{Ind}_{M_\theta \cap K}^K \sigma|_{M_\theta \cap K}$ where K acts according to a finite dimensional representation τ . Then take $w \in I(\sigma)_\tau$ and define a function w_ν on G in the following manner:

By the Iwasawa decomposition $G = \bar{N}_\theta M_\theta K$. Writing $g = \bar{n}mk$, we define

$$w_\nu(\bar{n}mk) = (\chi_\nu \cdot \delta_{\bar{P}}^{\frac{1}{2}})(m) \sigma(m) w(k).$$

Thus each w_ν is an element of $I_{\bar{P}_\theta}^G \sigma \boxtimes \chi_\nu$.

Take any $\chi_\nu \in \text{Im} X_{ur}(M_\theta)$. If σ is a discrete series representation of M_θ , then so is $\sigma \boxtimes \chi_\nu$. This defines an action of the compact abelian group $\text{Im} X_{ur}(M_\theta)$ on the set of all discrete series representations of M_θ . This action has a finite stabilizer and transfers the induced measure on the quotient of $\text{Im} X_{ur}(M_\theta)$ by $\text{Stab}_{\text{Im} X_{ur}(M_\theta)}(\sigma)$ to the orbits. An orbit under this action is denoted as $\mathcal{O}$.

Recall the constant $j(\sigma \boxtimes \chi_\nu)$ defined in [Wal, pg. 285]. Let

$$\mu(\sigma, \nu) := j(\sigma \boxtimes \chi_\nu)^{-1} \prod_{\alpha \in {}^{nd}\Sigma(P, A)} \gamma(M_\alpha | M)^2$$

with M_α defined as in Section 3.2. We call the normalized measure

$$d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) = \mu(\sigma, \nu) d\nu$$

the *Plancherel measure* on $\mathcal{O}$.

Fix an orbit $\mathcal{O}$ and let σ be any representation in it. Let $w, v \in I(\sigma)$ and let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{I(\sigma)}$ be the unitary Hermitian form on $I_{\bar{P}_\theta}^G \sigma$. Then $\varphi_{w, v}[g](\nu) := \langle \pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}(g) v_\nu, w_\nu \rangle_{I(\sigma)}$ is considered as a function on $\mathcal{O}$.

Take any infinitely differentiable function $\alpha(\nu) \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ and define the following integral transform from $C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ to $\mathcal{C}^*(G)$:

$$f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v, w}(g) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_{v, w}[g](\nu) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu).$$

This is the Harish-Chandra wave packet map.

By [Wal, Theoreme VIII.1.1], any $f \in \mathcal{C}_{cont}^*(G)$ is a finite linear combination of $f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v, w}$ over suitable choices of datum $[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]$ with $P_\theta \neq G$ and $w, v \in I(\sigma)$.

5.2. We write $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for the sesquilinear inner product on $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. For $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$, we let

$$B(f, \varphi) = \int_G f(g) \overline{\varphi(g)} dg.$$

Lemma 5.2.1. *The integral defining the sesquilinear pairing $B(f, \varphi)$ converges absolutely for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)$ and $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. Moreover there exists two continuous seminorms q_1 and q_2 on $\mathcal{C}^*(G)$ and $\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ respectively such that*

$$|B(f, \varphi)| \leq q_1(f) q_2(\varphi).$$

Proof. Now for any positive integer d' and d ,

$$(5.2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |B(f, \varphi)| &\leq \int_{N_0 \times A_0 \times K} \delta_{P_0}^{-1}(a) |f(nak)| |\varphi(ak)| \, dn \, da \, dk \\ &\leq \int_{N_0 \times A_0 \times K} \delta_{P_0}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(a) q_{2,d}(R(k)\varphi) q_{1,d'}(R(k)f) \Xi(na) (1 + \sigma_*(na))^{-d'} (1 + \sigma_*(a))^{-d}. \end{aligned}$$

By [Wal, Proposition II.4.5], for any $r \geq 0$ one can find a positive constant and positive integer d' such that (5.2.1) is

$$(5.2.2) \quad \leq \int_{A_0 \times K} q_{1,r}(R(k)f) q_{2,d}(R(k)\varphi) (1 + \sigma_*(a))^{-r-d} \, da \, dk.$$

Let $q_1(f)$ and $q_2(\varphi)$ be the supremum of $q_{1,r}(R(k)f)$ and $q_{2,d}(R(k)\varphi)$ over the entire maximal compact subgroup K . Then the expression in line (5.2.2)

$$\leq q_1(f) q_2(\varphi) \int_{A_0} (1 + \sigma_*(a))^{-r-d} \, da.$$

However this is finite if $r + d$ is sufficiently large. □

We let

$$f_\psi(g) := \int_{N_0} \psi(n)^{-1} f(ng) \, dn.$$

By the proof of Lemma 5.2.1 above this integral converges absolutely and the map

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{C}^*(G) &\rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi) \\ f &\mapsto f_\psi \end{aligned}$$

is continuous and it is clear that the sesquilinear pairing $B(f, \varphi)$ is G invariant and equals $\langle f_\psi, \varphi \rangle$.

The following is the key lemma of the paper.

Lemma 5.2.2. *With notation as in this section,*

- (1) *The map $f \mapsto f_\psi$ is surjective from $\mathcal{C}^*(G)$ to $\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.*
- (2) *If $f \in \mathcal{C}_{cont}^*(G)$, then $B(f, \varphi) = 0$ for any $\varphi \in {}^\circ\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.*
- (3) *If $B(f, \varphi) = 0$ for all $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)$, then φ is identically zero.*

Proof. Assertions (1) and (2) will be proven in the next subsection. (3) follows easily from (1). □

Theorem 5.2.3. *The space of discrete functions ${}^\circ\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ is a multiplicity free direct sum of discrete series representations of G which are ψ -generic.*

Proof. We first show that ${}^\circ\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi) \supset \mathcal{C}_{discr}^*(G)_\psi$. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{C}_{discr}^*(G)$. Then we claim $(f_\psi)^P = 0$ for any proper standard parabolic $P = P_\theta$. Indeed, by Lemma 4.3.1,

$$\delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) \int_{\bar{N}_\theta} \left(\int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n) f(\bar{n}mn) \, dn_0 \right) d\bar{n} = \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n) \left(\delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) \int_{\bar{N}_\theta} (R(n)f)(\bar{n}m) \right) d\bar{n}.$$

Since $\mathcal{C}_{discr}^*(G)$ is stable under right translation, the right hand side is zero.

We know that $\mathcal{C}_{cont}^*(G)$ maps into the orthogonal complement of ${}^\circ\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. As the projection of $\mathcal{C}^*(G)$ to its ψ -coinvariants is surjective, ${}^\circ\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi) = \mathcal{C}_{discr}^*(G)_\psi$.

Let (σ, H_σ) be a discrete series representation of G . Since G is assumed to be quasi-split, a result of Shalika assures us that $\dim Wh_\psi(H_\sigma) \leq 1$. The multiplicity free assertion follows immediately from this and proves the theorem. □

5.3. In this section and the next, we will complete the proof of Lemma 5.2.2.

Suppose we are given $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \backslash G; \psi)^H$ where $H = H_m$ is some open compact subgroup. Then f is determined by the values it takes on the cosets of $N_0 \backslash G/H$. More precisely, if $g \in \gamma g_i H$, then

$$f(g) = \begin{cases} \psi(\gamma)f(g_i) & \text{if } \psi|_{N_0 \cap g_i H g_i^{-1}} \text{ is trivial} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}.$$

Therefore f is zero on those $g_i = ak$ such that $N_0 \cap aHa^{-1} \not\subseteq \ker(\psi)$. This implies that the support of f is contained in the set of points $g_i = ak$ with $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1})$ using the notation of section 2.1. Inside the support, the values $f(g_i)$ must satisfy

$$|f(g_i)| \leq (\text{const})\delta_0^{1/2}(a)(1 + \sigma_*(a))^{-r}$$

for all $r > 0$.

Now we turn our attention to $\mathcal{C}^*(G)$. As one has the Cartan decomposition for G , to construct a function $u \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)^H$ it suffices to set values for u on $k_1 a^+ k_2$ where k_1 (resp. k_2) are coset representatives of the left (resp. right) H -cosets in K and $a^+ \in A^+$. In addition to this, we require

$$|u(k_1 a^+ k_2)| \leq (\text{const})\Xi(a^+)(1 + \sigma_*(a^+))^{-r}$$

for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$. If this bound is satisfied for all $g \in G$, then $u \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)^H$.

In order to complete the proof of Lemma 5.2.2(1), it suffices to prove the following statement:

Lemma 5.3.1. *For all sufficiently small H , the map $(-)_\psi : \mathcal{C}^*(G)^H \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \backslash G; \psi)^H$ given by*

$$u \mapsto \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n)u(n g) \, dn$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let ψ be the nondegenerate smooth character as before. Then

$$\ker(\psi) = [N_0, N_0]N_{\alpha_1, i_{\alpha_1}} \cdots N_{\alpha_r, i_{\alpha_r}}$$

where $\alpha_j \in \Delta$. If we set $n = \max\{i_{\alpha_1}, \dots, i_{\alpha_r}\}$, then ψ is trivial on N_n . We may always assume that $H = H_m$ is sufficiently close to the identity element so that $N_m \subsetneq N_n$.

In order to prove this lemma, given any $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \backslash G; \psi)^H$, we must construct a function $u \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)^H$ which maps to f .

Suppose that $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1}) - A^+$. Let $a^+ = w^{-1}aw$ where $w \in W$ is chosen so that $a \in wA^+w^{-1}$. Define

$$u(wa^+w^{-1}k) = \frac{1}{\text{meas}((N_0 \cap aHa^{-1})N_{0,m})}f(ak).$$

Now set $u(hwa^+w^{-1}kh') = u(wa^+w^{-1}k)$ for all $h, h' \in H$.

If $a \in A^+$, set

$$u(ak) = \frac{1}{\text{meas } N_{0,m}}f(ak).$$

As above, we require u to be H -invariant on the right and on the left. Finally, set u to be zero on every other coset.

We claim that $u \in \mathcal{C}^*(G)^H$. We have the inequality

$$(\text{const.})\delta_0^{1/2}(a) \leq \Xi(a)$$

for any $a \in A^+$. (See for instance [Wal, Lemme II.1.1]) Therefore u satisfies the required growth condition on the points a contained in A^+ .

It remains to show that if $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1}) - A^+$, then

$$\frac{\delta_0^{1/2}(a)}{\text{meas}((N_0 \cap aHa^{-1})N_{0,m})} \leq (\text{const.})\Xi(a^+)$$

where $a \in wA^+w^{-1}$ and $a^+ = w^{-1}aw$.

Since

$$\text{meas}((N_0 \cap aHa^{-1})N_{0,m}) = \left(\prod_{\substack{\alpha \in nd\Sigma^+ \\ \text{val}(\alpha(a)) < 0}} \alpha q^{-\lambda_\alpha \text{val}(\alpha(a))} \right) \text{meas } N_{0,m}$$

by definition of $N_0 \cap aHa^{-1}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\delta_0^{1/2}(a)}{\text{meas}((N_0 \cap aHa^{-1})N_{0,m})} &= \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in nd\Sigma^+ \\ \text{val}(\alpha(a)) < 0}} \alpha q^{\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{2} \text{val}(\alpha(a))} \prod_{\substack{\alpha \in nd\Sigma^+ \\ \text{val}(\alpha(a)) \geq 0}} \alpha q^{-\frac{\lambda_\alpha}{2} \text{val}(\alpha(a))} (\text{meas } N_{0,m})^{-1} \\ &= \delta_{wP_\emptyset w^{-1}}^{1/2}(a) (\text{meas } N_{0,m})^{-1} \\ &= \delta_0^{1/2}(a^+) (\text{meas } N_{0,m})^{-1} \leq (\text{const.})\Xi(a^+). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\delta_{wP_\emptyset w^{-1}}^{1/2}$ is half the sum of all positive roots with respect to the simple root system defined by the chamber wA^+w^{-1} .

Finally we show that $u_\psi = f$. It suffices to show that $u_\psi(ak) = f(ak)$ for all $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1})$ and $k \in K$ modulo H_m . By construction and using Lemma 2.1.1, if $n \notin ((N_0 \cap aHa^{-1})N_{0,m})$, then $u(nak) = 0$

With $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1})$ and $N_0 \cap aHa^{-1} \not\subseteq N_{0,m}$,

$$\begin{aligned} u_\psi(ak) &= \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n)u(nak) \, dn \\ &= \text{meas}((N_0 \cap aHa^{-1})N_{0,m}) \left[\psi^{-1}(1)u(a_ik) + \sum_{\substack{n_l \in N_0 / ((N_0 \cap aHa^{-1})N_{0,m}) \\ n_l \neq 1}} \psi^{-1}(n_l)u(n_lak) \right] \\ &= \text{meas}((N_0 \cap aHa^{-1})N_{0,m}) \cdot \psi^{-1}(1) \left(\frac{f(ak)}{\text{meas}(N_0 \cap aHa^{-1})N_{0,m}} \right) = f(ak). \end{aligned}$$

With $a \in A^+(\epsilon^{-1})$ and $N_0 \cap aHa^{-1} \subseteq N_{0,m}$

$$\begin{aligned} u_\psi(ak) &= \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n)u(nak) \, dn \\ &= \text{meas}(N_0 \cap aHa^{-1}) \left[\psi^{-1}(1) \cdot [N_{0,m} : N_0 \cap aHa^{-1}] u(ak) + \sum_{\substack{n_l \in N_0 / N_0 \cap aHa^{-1} \\ n_l \notin N_{0,m}}} \psi^{-1}(n_l)u(n_lak) \right] \\ &= \text{meas}(N_{0,m}) \cdot \psi^{-1}(1) \left(\frac{f(ak)}{\text{meas}(N_{0,m})} \right) = f(ak). \end{aligned}$$

□

5.4. In this section we prove Lemma 5.2.2(2). The terms *rational*, *regular*, *pole* and the notion of *vector valued integration* will be used in the same sense as Waldspurger defines it in [Wal, Section IV-V].

First of all, recall the spaces

$$Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma) = \text{Hom}_{M_\theta \cap N_0}(\sigma, \mathbb{C}_{\psi^\theta})$$

and

$$Wh_\psi(I(\sigma, \nu)) = \text{Hom}_{N_0}(I_{\bar{P}_\theta}^G \sigma \boxtimes \chi_\nu, \mathbb{C}_\psi).$$

It is well known that these spaces of Whittaker functionals are one dimensional.

For any $\lambda \in Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma)$ and any $w \in I(\sigma)_\tau$ (and any τ), consider the following two integral transforms:

$$(5.4.1) \quad J_{\sigma, \nu}(\lambda)(w) = \int_{N_\theta} \psi^{-1}(n) \lambda(w_\nu(n)) \, dn$$

$$(5.4.2) \quad E_{\sigma, \nu}(w) = \int_{N_\theta} \psi^{-1}(n) w_\nu(n) \, dn$$

The following result guarantees that these transforms are well defined.

Theorem 5.4.1 (c.f.[Jac, C-S, Shah]). *The integral (5.4.1) (resp. (5.4.2)) converges absolutely for any $\nu \in \mathfrak{a}_{\theta, \mathbb{C}}^{*, -}$ and $w \in I(\sigma)$ and can be analytically continued to all of $\mathfrak{a}_{\theta, \mathbb{C}}^*$. Then $J_{\sigma, \nu}$ defines an isomorphism of $Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma)$ onto $Wh_\psi(I(\sigma, \nu))$ and both $J_{\sigma, \nu}$ and $E_{\sigma, \nu}$ are smooth as functions on $\mathcal{O}$.*

□

Corollary 5.4.2. *Assume that σ is a ψ^θ -generic discrete series representation of M_θ and consider the induced representation $(\pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}, I_{\bar{P}_\theta}^G \sigma \boxtimes \chi_\nu)$. Let λ be a Whittaker functional on σ and $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. The integral defined by*

$$\int_{N_0 \setminus G} f(g) \overline{J_{\sigma, \nu}(\lambda)(\pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}(g)w)} \, dg$$

is absolutely convergent.

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 5.4.1 and Proposition 4.2.4. □

The following lemma relates the map $J_{\sigma, \nu}$ to the Harish-Chandra transform.

Lemma 5.4.3. *With notation as above,*

$$\int_{N_0 \setminus G} \overline{J_{\sigma, \nu}(\lambda)(\pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}(g)w)} f(g) \, dg = \int_{(N_* \setminus M_\theta) \times K} \chi_{-\nu}(m) \overline{\lambda(\sigma(m)w(k))} (R(k)f)^{P_\theta}(m) \, dm \, dk$$

for all $w \in I(\sigma)$ and all $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.

Proof. Recall that $N_0 = N_\theta N_*$. Then by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{N_0 \setminus G} \overline{\lambda \left(\int_{N_\theta} \psi^{-1}(n) w_\nu(n) \, dn \right)} f(g) \, dg \\ &= \int_{N_* \setminus G} \overline{\lambda(w_\nu(g))} f(g) \, dg \\ &= \int_{\bar{N}_\theta \times (N_* \setminus M_\theta) \times K} \chi_{\rho - \nu}(m) \overline{\lambda(\sigma(m)w(k))} f(\bar{n}mk) \, d\bar{n} \, dm \, dk \end{aligned}$$

by the Iwasawa decomposition,

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_{(N_* \setminus M_\theta) \times K} \chi_{-\nu}(m) \overline{\lambda(\sigma(m)w(k))} \left(\int_{\bar{N}_\theta} \delta_{\bar{P}_\theta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m) f(\bar{n}mk) d\bar{n} \right) dm dk \\ &= \int_{(N_* \setminus M_\theta) \times K} \chi_{-\nu}(m) \overline{\lambda(\sigma(m)w(k))} (R(k)f)^{P_\theta}(m) dm dk. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Lemma 5.2.2(2). Before we begin, we fix a discrete series $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{O}$. We will use the generic symbol σ to represent $\sigma_0 \otimes \chi_\nu$ for any unramified character χ_ν and identify the representation space H_{σ_0} with H_σ . Denote the invariant Hermitian inner product on H_{σ_0} as $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\sigma_0}$.

We may assume

$$f_\psi(g) = \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n_0) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_{w,v}[n_0 g](\nu) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) dn_0$$

for some datum $[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]$ and fixed $w, v \in I(\sigma)$. For convenience, we let $g = 1$ in the following discussion.

By Lemma 3.2.1, up to a scalar $\gamma(G|M_\theta)$,

$$C = f_\psi(1) = \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n_0) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\int_{N_1} \langle w_\nu(n_1 n_0), v_\nu(n_1) \rangle_{\sigma_0} dn_1 \right) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) dn_0.$$

where $N_1 = N_\theta$. As the integral over $N_0 \times \mathcal{O} \times N_1$ above is finite we may switch the order of integration so that we integrate against N_0 followed by N_1 and then $\mathcal{O}$. Thus after a change of variable,

$$C = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\int_{N_1 \times N_0} \psi^{-1}(n_0) \psi(n_1) \langle w_\nu(n_0), v_\nu(n_1) \rangle_{\sigma_0} dn_0 dn_1 \right) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu).$$

We split $N_0 = N_1 N_2$ where $N_2 = M_\theta \cap N_0$. Denote the inner integral (in brackets) over $N_1 \times N_1 \times N_2$ by I .

After rearranging integrals we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned} I &= \int_{N_1} \psi^{-1}(n_1) \int_{N_2} \psi^{-1}(n_2) \left\langle \sigma(n_2) w_\nu(n_1), \left(\int_{N_1} \psi^{-1}(n_1) v_\nu(n_1) dn_1 \right) \right\rangle_{\sigma_0} dn_2 dn_1 \\ &= \int_{N_1} \psi^{-1}(n_1) \left(\int_{N_2} \psi^{-1}(n_2) \langle \sigma(n_2) w_\nu(n_1), E_{\sigma_0, \nu}(v) \rangle_{\sigma_0} dn_2 \right) dn_1. \end{aligned}$$

Observe that

$$\langle \sigma(n_2) w_\nu(n_1), E_{\sigma_0, \nu}(w) \rangle_{\sigma_0}$$

is a matrix coefficient of σ and hence is in $\mathcal{C}^*(M_\theta)$ (modulo the center of M_θ). We see from the proof of Lemma 5.2.1 that the integral

$$\int_{N_2} \psi^{-1}(n_2) \langle \sigma(n_2) w_\nu(n_1), E_{\sigma_0, \nu}(w) \rangle_{\sigma_0}$$

converges absolutely (and defines the projection to $\mathcal{C}^*(N_2 \setminus M_\theta; \psi^\theta)$). This defines a functional $\lambda_{E_{\sigma_0, \nu}(v)} \in Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_{\sigma_0})$.

Therefore

$$I = \int_{N_1} \psi^{-1}(n_1) \lambda_{E_{\sigma_0, \nu}(v)}(w_\nu(n_1)) dn_1.$$

By Theorem 5.4.1, this integral converges giving us the linear map $J_{\sigma_0, \nu}(\lambda_{E_{\sigma_0, \nu}})(w)$. Thus we have

$$C = \int_{\mathcal{O}} J_{\sigma_0, \nu}(\lambda_{E_{\sigma_0, \nu}})(w) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu).$$

With this we can complete the proof. Now we obtain

$$f_{\psi}(g) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} J_{\sigma_0, \nu}(\lambda_{E_{\sigma_0, \nu}})(\pi_{\bar{P}_{\theta}, \sigma}(g)w) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu)$$

by replacing w with $\pi_{\bar{P}_{\theta}, \sigma}(g)w$ in the discussion above.

Then

$$B(f, \varphi) = \int_{N_0 \setminus G} \overline{\varphi(g)} \int_{\mathcal{O}} J_{\sigma_0, \nu}(\lambda_{E_{\sigma_0, \nu}})(\pi_{\bar{P}_{\theta}, \sigma}(g)w) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) dg.$$

The interchange of integrals is permitted since the integral defining B converges absolutely by Lemma 5.2.1. Now we apply Lemma 5.4.3 to see that $B(f, \varphi)$ is

$$(5.4.3) \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} \left(\int_{N_2 \setminus M_{\theta} \times K} \chi_{\nu}(m) \lambda_{E_{\sigma_0, \nu}}(\sigma_0(m)w(k)) \overline{(R(k)\varphi)^{P_{\theta}}(m)} dm dk \right) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu).$$

Thus if $f \in \mathcal{C}_{cont}^*(G)$, $B(f, \varphi) = 0$ for all $\varphi \in {}^{\circ}\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.

□

6. THE PLANCHEREL FORMULA FOR $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$

6.1. Suppose $P_{\theta} = M_{\theta}N_{\theta}$ is a standard parabolic subgroup of a quasi-split group G and (σ, H_{σ}) a discrete series representation of M_{θ} . Assume that σ is ψ^{θ} generic and let $Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{\sigma})$ be the space of its Whittaker functionals. As M_{θ} is also quasi-split, a result of Shalika assures us that this space is one-dimensional.

We recall the following construction used in the proof of Lemma 5.2.2(2). As before let $N_* = M_{\theta} \cap N_0$. Then $N_0 = N_{\theta}N_*$. Let $w, v \in H_{\sigma}$ and consider the transform:

$$\lambda_v(w) := \int_{N_*} \psi^{-1}(n_*) \langle \sigma(n_*)w, v \rangle_{\sigma} dn_*.$$

This is well defined as $\langle \sigma(m)w, v \rangle_{\sigma} \in \mathcal{C}^*(M_{\theta})$. The integral above converges absolutely for any $w \in H_{\sigma}$ and defines a Whittaker functional $\lambda_v \in Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{\sigma})$. Observe that $\lambda_v(w) = \overline{\lambda_w(v)}$.

Choose any $\lambda \in Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{\sigma})$ and $w \in H_{\sigma}$ satisfying $\lambda(w) = 1$. Then for $u \in H_{\sigma}$, we have

$$\lambda_u = \lambda_u(w)\lambda = \overline{\lambda_w(u)}\lambda.$$

Lemma 6.1.1. *Let $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$, $w, v \in I(\sigma)$. We define $\eta = \lambda_w$. Then*

$$(f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_{\theta}]; w, v})_{\psi}(g) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{J_{\sigma, \nu}(\eta)(w)} J_{\sigma, \nu}(\lambda)(\pi_{\bar{P}_{\theta}, \sigma}(g)v) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu).$$

Proof. We already know that

$$(f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_{\theta}]; w, v})_{\psi}(g) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} J_{\sigma, \nu}(\lambda_{E_{\sigma, \nu}}(w)) (\pi_{\bar{P}_{\theta}, \sigma}(g)v) \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu)$$

from the proof of Lemma 5.2.2(2).

However we may write $\lambda_{E_{\sigma, \nu}}(w) = \overline{\eta(E_{\sigma, \nu}(w))}\lambda = \overline{J_{\sigma, \nu}(\eta)(w)}\lambda$ from which the lemma follows immediately. □

Now fix a base point $\sigma_0 \in \mathcal{O}$ and for every $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}$ identify $H_\sigma = H_{\sigma_0}$. Then without loss of generality, $Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_{\sigma_0}) = Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma)$. Thus, there is an unambiguous choice of basis $\lambda \in Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma)$ for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}$. The space $C^\infty(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma))$ will then consist of all functions of the form

$$\{(\nu \mapsto \alpha(\nu)\lambda) \mid \alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})\}.$$

Define a map $\Lambda : C^\infty(\mathcal{O}) \otimes I_\sigma \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma))$ by the formula

$$\Lambda(\alpha \otimes f)(\nu) = \alpha(\nu) \overline{J_{\sigma,\nu}(\eta)(f)} \lambda.$$

Lemma 6.1.2. *The linear map Λ defined above is surjective.*

Proof. We observe that $\lambda_w(v) = (\varphi_{v,w})_{\psi^\theta}(1)$. Thus we may as well assume η is not the zero functional.

We must prove this assertion for $(\nu \mapsto \alpha(\nu)\lambda)$ for any $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ and a fixed $\lambda \in Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma)$.

By Theorem 5.4.1 $J_{\sigma,\nu}(\eta_j)$ is a nonzero functional. Thus one may find $w \in I(\sigma)$ such that $J_{\sigma,\nu}(\eta)(w_\nu) \neq 0$. As $J_{\sigma,\nu}$ is continuous in ν , $J_{\sigma,\mu}(\eta)(w_\mu)$ is nonzero as long as we vary μ around a small enough neighborhood U_ν of $\nu \in \mathcal{O}$.

Since $\mathcal{O}$ is compact, we may find a finite covering $\{U_l\}_{l=1}^p$ of the support of $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ subject to the condition that for each of these open sets U_l , there exists vectors $w^l \in I(\sigma)$ such that on each of these open neighborhoods U_l ,

$$J_{\sigma,\mu}^l = J_{\sigma,\mu}(\eta)(w_\mu^l)$$

is nonzero for all $\mu \in U_l$.

Now choose a partition of unity, $\{\varphi_l\}$ subordinate to the cover $\{U_l\}$ and define for each open set U_l a (smooth) function $g_l(\nu)$ which vanishes outside U_l and is equals to $(g^l(\nu)) = \varphi_l(\nu)(J_{\sigma,\nu}^l)^{-1}$.

Thus we clearly have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_l \Lambda(g_l \alpha \otimes w_\nu^l) &= \sum_l g_l(\nu) \alpha(\nu) \overline{J_{\sigma,\nu}(\eta)(w_\nu^l)} \lambda \\ &= \left(\sum_l \varphi_l(\nu) \right) \alpha(\nu) \lambda = \alpha(\nu) \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Consider the following two Hermitian inner products on the discrete series representation H_σ and the image of σ in ${}^\circ\mathcal{C}^*(N_2 \setminus M_\theta; \psi^\theta) : \langle v, w \rangle_\sigma$ and

$$(6.1.1) \quad \int_{N_2 \setminus M_\theta} \lambda(\sigma(m)v) \overline{\eta(\sigma(m)w)} dm$$

for $\lambda, \eta \in Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma)$ respectively. By Schur's lemma, the invariant Hermitian form on an irreducible unitary representation is unique up to scalar. Thus, if we set $(\lambda, \eta)_\sigma$ to be the ratio of (6.1.1) and $\langle v, w \rangle_\sigma$, this pairing is sesquilinear and positive definite.

Lemma 6.1.3. *For any two vectors $w, v \in H_\sigma$,*

$$d(\sigma)(\lambda_v, \lambda_w)_\sigma = \lambda_v(w)$$

where $d(\sigma)$ is the formal degree of σ .

Proof. Let $M_\theta = M$ and by abuse of notation write ψ^θ as ψ . By definition

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda_v, \lambda_w)_\sigma \langle x, y \rangle_\sigma &= \int_{N_* \backslash M} \lambda_v(\sigma(m)x) \overline{\lambda_w(\sigma(m)y)} dn \\ &= \int_{N_* \backslash M} \int_{N_* \times N_*} \psi^{-1}(n_1 n_2^{-1}) \langle \sigma(n_1 m)x, v \rangle_\sigma \overline{\langle \sigma(n_2 m)y, w \rangle_\sigma} dn_1 dn_2 dm. \end{aligned}$$

By change of variable $n_1 n_2$ to n_1 , this equals

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{N_* \backslash M} \int_{N_* \times N_*} \psi^{-1}(n_1) \langle \sigma(n_2 m)x, \sigma(n_1)^{-1}v \rangle_\sigma \overline{\langle \sigma(n_2 m)y, w \rangle_\sigma} dn_1 dn_2 dm \\ &= \int_M \int_{N_*} \psi^{-1}(n) \langle \sigma(m)x, \sigma(n)^{-1}v \rangle_\sigma \overline{\langle \sigma(m)y, w \rangle_\sigma} dndm. \end{aligned}$$

By switching the order of integration to integrate over M first, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &d(\sigma)^{-1} \langle x, y \rangle_\sigma \int_{N_*} \psi^{-1}(n) \langle \sigma(n)w, v \rangle_\sigma dn. \\ &= d(\sigma)^{-1} \langle x, y \rangle_\sigma \lambda_v(w). \end{aligned}$$

By cancelling away $\langle x, y \rangle_\sigma$, we obtain our stated identity. $\square$

Lemma 6.1.4. *Let $\beta' \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma))$, $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ and $v \in I(\sigma)$. Then*

$$(\Lambda(\alpha \otimes v), \beta')_\sigma = d(\sigma)^{-1} \alpha(\nu) \overline{\beta(\nu) J_{\sigma, \nu}(\lambda)(v)}$$

where $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ defines β' (i.e. $\beta'(\nu) = \beta(\nu)\lambda$).

Proof. To justify this identity, we need only to observe that by definition,

$$(\Lambda(\alpha \otimes v), \beta')_\sigma = \alpha(\nu) \overline{\beta(\nu) J_{\sigma, \nu'}(\eta')(v)} (\lambda', \lambda)_\sigma = \alpha(\nu) \overline{\beta(\nu) J_{\sigma, \nu'}(\eta'(\lambda, \lambda')_\sigma)(v)}$$

where λ' is a choice of basis for $Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma)$ satisfying $(\lambda', \lambda')_\sigma = 1$ and $\eta' = \lambda_{w'}$ where $w' \in H_\sigma$ is chosen so that $\lambda'(w') = 1$.

However, we note that $\lambda_v = \overline{\eta'(v)} \lambda' = d(\sigma)(\lambda_v, \eta') \lambda'$ for any $v \in I(\sigma)$ by Lemma 6.1.3. In other words $\eta' = d(\sigma)^{-1} \lambda'$. This implies the result. $\square$

6.2. We give a quick overview of the theory of intertwining operators for induced representations adequate for our purposes. Recall that W denotes the Weyl group of G . More precisely, we write this as W^G for if M is a Levi subgroup of a standard parabolic subgroup P_θ , W^M will denote the Weyl group of M .

Now assume that we are given two standard parabolic subgroups, P and P' with Langlands decomposition $P = MN$ and $P = MN'$ respectively. For any $w \in W(G|M)$, let k_w be a choice of representative of w in G . Then define $w.P = k_w P k_w^{-1}$ and if σ is a representation of the Levi M , define

$$w\sigma(m) := \sigma(k_w^{-1} m k_w)$$

for $m \in w.M$. In addition to this, define

$$W(G|M) := \{w \in W^G \mid w.M = M\} / W^M$$

If P, P' are two standard parabolic subgroups with Langlands decomposition $P = MN$ and $P = MN'$ respectively, then define

$$J_{P|P'}(\sigma)f(g) = \int_{N \cap N' \backslash N'} f(n'g) dn'$$

with $f \in I_P^G \sigma$ provided this integral converges. It is well known that $J_{P|P'}(\sigma)$ can be meromorphically continued to a rational function on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}}$. (See [Wal, Theoreme IV.1.1. and pg. 276-278] for nomenclature and the precise statement.)

Now we assume σ is unitary. Recall the unitary intertwining operator defined in [Wal, pg. 295] ($w \in W(G|M)$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}$)

$${}^{\circ}c_{P'|P}(w, \sigma) : I_P^G \sigma \otimes I_P^G \sigma^{\vee} \rightarrow I_{P'}^G w\sigma \otimes I_{P'}^G w\sigma^{\vee}$$

for two parabolic subgroups P and P' with the same Levi subgroup M .

It is known that ${}^{\circ}c_{P'|P}(w, \sigma)$ is regular on $\mathcal{O}$ and that we may express this map in the following form:

$$u \otimes v \mapsto J_{P'|w.P}(w\sigma)L(k_w)u \otimes J_{w.P|P'}(w\sigma^{\vee})^{-1}L(k_w)v$$

where L denotes left translation. See [Wal, proof of Lemme V.3.1]. We define

$$A_w(\sigma) := J_{P'|w.P}(w\sigma)L(w)$$

and for a fixed $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}$, consider $A_w(\sigma \boxtimes \chi_v)$ as a function on $\mathcal{O}$ in which case we write $A_w(\sigma \boxtimes \chi_v)$ as $A_w(\nu)$.

Given $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{\sigma}))$ and $v \in I(\sigma)$ we define

$$(6.2.1) \quad W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_{\theta}]; v}(g) := \int_{\mathcal{O}} J_{\sigma, \nu}(\alpha(\nu))(\pi_{\bar{P}_{\theta}, \sigma, \nu}(g)v) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu).$$

Let $\beta' \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{\sigma}))$ be defined by $\beta \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O})$.

Lemma 6.2.1. *Let $A_w(\nu)$ be the intertwining operator defined by the unitary map ${}^{\circ}c_{\bar{P}_{\theta}|P_{\theta}}(w, \sigma)$. Then there exists a map*

$$M_w(\nu)^{-1} : Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{\sigma}) \rightarrow Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{w\sigma})$$

smooth on $\mathcal{O}$ so that,

$$(6.2.2) \quad W_{[\beta', \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_{\theta}]; v}(g) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \beta(\nu) J_{w\sigma, w\nu}(M_w(\nu)^{-1}\lambda)(\pi_{\bar{P}_{\theta}, w\sigma, w\nu}(g)A_w(\nu)v) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu)$$

for any $w \in W(G|M_{\theta})$.

Proof. Indeed, if $\lambda \in Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{w\sigma})$, then $J_{w\sigma, w\nu}(\lambda) \circ A_w(\nu) \in J_{\sigma, \nu}(Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{\sigma}))$.

Let Z denote the poles of $A_w(\nu)$ on $\mathcal{O}$ and let $\mathcal{O}' = \mathcal{O} - Z$. Then as $J_{\sigma, \nu}$ is an isomorphism (Theorem 5.4.1), we define $M_w(\nu)$ by

$$J_{w\sigma, w\nu}(\lambda) \circ A_w(\nu) = J_{\sigma, \nu}(M_w(\nu)\lambda)$$

for all $\nu \in \mathcal{O}'$. Since $A_w(\nu)$ is an isomorphism on the points where it is regular, $M_w(\nu)^{-1}$ is well defined on $\mathcal{O}'$.

If $\lambda \in Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{\sigma})$, then

$$(6.2.3) \quad J_{w\sigma, w\nu}(M_w(\nu)^{-1}\lambda) \circ A_w(\nu) = J_{\sigma, \nu}(\lambda)$$

on $\mathcal{O}'$. Since the right hand side is defined on $\mathcal{O}$, we may extend this equality to all of $\mathcal{O}$ by setting $M_w(\nu)^{-1} = 0$. The claim follows immediately from definition. $\square$

We remark that if $P = G$, then we take $\mathcal{O} = \{e\}$ as a singleton so that α is identified with $\lambda \in Wh_{\psi}(H_{\sigma})$ where σ is a discrete series representation of G . Then $W_{[\alpha, \{e\}, G]; v}(g)$ in (6.2.1) is $W(v, \lambda)(g)$.

Theorem 6.2.2. (1) *If $\alpha \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi^{\theta}}(H_{\sigma}))$, then $W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_{\theta}]; v} \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ for all $v \in I(\sigma)$.*

- (2) The span of $W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v}$ over all $v \in I(\sigma)$ and datum $[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]$ is equal to $C^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. In particular, the span is dense in $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.
- (3) Given two datum $[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]$ and $[\beta, \mathcal{P}, \bar{P}_{\theta'}]$, suppose either $\theta \neq \theta'$ or $\mathcal{O} \neq w\mathcal{P}$ for any $w \in W(G|M_\theta)$, then $\langle W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v}, W_{[\beta, \mathcal{P}, \bar{P}_{\theta'}]; w} \rangle = 0$.
Otherwise, if $\theta = \theta'$ and $\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{P}$, then

$$\langle W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v}, W_{[\beta, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; w} \rangle = |W(G|M_\theta)| \gamma(G|M_\theta) c(G|M_\theta)^2 \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} (\alpha(\nu), \beta(\nu))_\sigma d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) \right) \langle v, w \rangle_{I(\sigma)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 6.2.2 (1). This is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6.1.1 and Lemma 6.1.2. One first expresses $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi_\theta}(H_\sigma))$ as a finite combination of $\Lambda(\beta \otimes w)$. By construction, it is immediate that $W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v}$ is a finite combination of $(f_{[\beta, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; w, v})_\psi$. This proves the assertion. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 6.2.2 (2). We know from the main theorem of the Plancherel formula for $L^2(G)$ that $C^*(G)$ is the span over all $f = f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; w, v}$ for all datum $[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]$. (When $P = G$, f reduces to matrix coefficients of a discrete series representation of G). We also know that taking ψ -coinvariants of $C^*(G)$ is surjective onto $C^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ by Lemma 5.2.2 (1).

Now let

$$\beta(\nu) = \alpha(\nu) \overline{J_{\sigma, \nu}(\eta)(w)}$$

where $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$, $w \in I(\sigma)$ and η defined as in section 6.1. We know that $J_{\sigma, \nu}$ is smooth as a function of ν (c.f. Theorem 5.4.1), thus $\overline{J_{\sigma, \nu}(\eta)(w)}$ is smooth too. Thus $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$. Let $\beta'(\nu) = \beta(\nu)\lambda$. Then by Lemma 6.1.1, it is clear that

$$(f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; w, v})_\psi = W_{[\beta', \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v}.$$

This proves (2). $\square$

6.3. In this section we present the proof of Theorem 6.2.2 (3).

Given two standard parabolic subgroups of G , P_θ and P_ϑ we say that (P_θ, A_θ) dominates $(P_\vartheta, A_\vartheta)$ if and only if $P_\theta \supset P_\vartheta$ and $A_\theta \subset A_\vartheta$.

Lemma 6.3.1. Consider two standard parabolic pairs $(P_\vartheta, A_\vartheta)$ and (P_θ, A_θ) , a datum $[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]$ and suppose that $((f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; w, v})_\psi)^{P_\vartheta} \neq 0$. Then $(P_\vartheta, A_\vartheta)$ dominates (P_θ, A_θ) .

Proof. Recall that

$$f(g) = f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v, w}(g) = \int_{\mathcal{O}} \langle \pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}(g) v_\nu, w_\nu \rangle \alpha(\nu) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu).$$

By Lemma 4.3.1 we may first integrate over $\bar{N}_\vartheta$ in the expression of $(f_\psi)^{P_\vartheta}$ as the following integral over $\bar{N}_\vartheta \times N_0$

$$\delta_{P_\vartheta}^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) \int_{\bar{N}_\vartheta} \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n_0) f(n_0 \bar{n} m) dn_0 d\bar{n}.$$

Now decompose $n_0 = k(n_0)m(n_0)\bar{n}(n_0)$ according to the Iwasawa decomposition, $G = K\bar{P}_\vartheta = KM_\vartheta\bar{N}_\vartheta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\bar{N}_\vartheta} f(n_0 \bar{n} m) d\bar{n} &= \int_{\bar{N}_\vartheta} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \langle \pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}(k(n_0)m(n_0)\bar{n}m) v_\nu, w_\nu \rangle \alpha(\nu) d\mu(\nu) \\ &= \delta_{P_\vartheta}(m(n_0)) \int_{\bar{N}_\vartheta} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \varphi_{v, \pi(k(n_0))w}[\bar{n}m(n_0)m](\nu) \alpha(\nu) d\mu(\nu) \\ (6.3.1) \quad &= \delta_{P_\vartheta}^{\frac{1}{2}}(m(n_0)) \delta_{P_\vartheta}^{-\frac{1}{2}}(m) (f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v, \pi(k(n_0))w})^{P_\vartheta}(m(n_0)m). \end{aligned}$$

To ensure that the right hand side is not equivalently zero, it is necessary that $(P_\vartheta, A_\vartheta)$ dominates (P_θ, A_θ) by [Wal, Proposition VI.4.1]. $\square$

We recall that $\langle, \rangle$ is the L^2 inner product on the space $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.

Lemma 6.3.2. *If two parabolic subgroups, P_θ and P_ϑ are not equal, then for any datum $[\beta, \mathcal{P}, \bar{P}_\vartheta]$, $[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]$ and any discrete series representation $\varrho \in \mathcal{P}$ and $\sigma \in \mathcal{O}$,*

$$\langle (f_{[\beta, \mathcal{O}_Q, \bar{Q}]; w, v})_\psi, (f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}]; w', v'})_\psi \rangle = 0$$

for all $w, v \in I(\varrho)$ and $w', v' \in I(\sigma)$.

Proof. Set $f = f_{[\beta, \mathcal{P}, \bar{P}_\vartheta]; w, v}$ and $\varphi = (f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; w', v'})_\psi$. Then $B(f, \varphi)$ is equals to the inner product we wish to compute above. However we know from (5.4.3) and Lemma 6.3.1 that $B(f, \varphi) = 0$ unless $(P_\vartheta, A_\vartheta)$ dominates (P_θ, A_θ) . Reversing the roles of f and φ , we also conclude that $B(f, \varphi) = 0$ unless (P_θ, A_θ) dominates $(P_\vartheta, A_\vartheta)$. Combining these two statements gives the lemma. $\square$

We wish to compute $((f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}]; w, v})_\psi)^P$. Recall from [Wal, Proposition VI.4.1] that $(f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}]; w, v})^P$ is equals to

$$(6.3.2) \quad \gamma(G|M)c(G|M)^2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \sum_{w \in W(G|M)} \langle \chi_{w\nu} \otimes w\sigma(m) \cdot A_w(\nu) u_\nu(1), A_w(\nu) v_\nu(1) \rangle_{w\sigma} d\nu$$

where $A_w(\nu)$ are the intertwining operators defining the unitary intertwining map ${}^\circ c_{\bar{P}|\bar{P}}(w, \chi_\nu \otimes \sigma)$. We remind the reader that $\langle, \rangle_{w\sigma}$ denotes the G -invariant *Hermitian* inner product on $w\sigma$.

Proposition 6.3.3. *The Harish-Chandra transform,*

$$(6.3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & ((f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}]; u, v})_\psi)^P \\ &= \gamma(G|M)c(G|M)^2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \sum_{w \in W(G|M)} \chi_{w\nu}(m) \lambda_{E_{w\sigma, w\nu}(A_w(\nu)v)}(w\sigma(m) A_w(\nu) u_\nu(1)) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Combining the expressions in the right hand side of (6.3.1) with (6.3.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & ((f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}]; u, v})_\psi)^P \\ &= \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(m) \int_{\bar{N}} \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n_0) f(n_0 \bar{n} m) dn_0 d\bar{n}. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.3.2), this equals to

$$\begin{aligned} &= \gamma(G|M)c(G|M)^2 \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n_0) \\ & \quad \delta_P^{\frac{1}{2}}(m(n_0)) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \sum_{w \in W(G|M)} \chi_{w\nu}(m(n_0)m) \langle w\sigma(m(n_0)m) \cdot A_w(\nu) u_\nu(1), A_w(\nu) v_\nu(k(n_0)^{-1}) \rangle_{w\sigma} d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

By moving $\delta_{\bar{P}}^{\frac{1}{2}}(m(n_0))$, $w\sigma(m(n_0))$ and $\chi_{w\nu}(m(n_0))$ into the second variable of $\langle, \rangle_{w\sigma}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma(G|M)c(G|M)^2 \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n_0) \\ & \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \sum_{w \in W(G|M)} \chi_{w\nu}(m) \langle w\sigma(m).A_w(\nu)u_\nu(1), \delta_{\bar{P}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\chi_{w\nu} \otimes w\sigma(m(n_0)^{-1}).A_w(\nu)v_\nu(k(n_0)^{-1}) \rangle_{w\sigma} d\nu \\ &= \gamma(G|M)c(G|M)^2 \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n_0) \\ & \quad \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \sum_{w \in W(G|M)} \chi_{w\nu}(m) \langle w\sigma(m).A_w(\nu)u_\nu(1), A_w(\nu)v_\nu((\bar{n}(n_0)m(n_0)k(n_0))^{-1}) \rangle_{w\sigma} d\nu \end{aligned}$$

since $A_w(\nu)v_\nu \in I_{\bar{P}}^G w\sigma \boxtimes \chi_{w\nu}$. Continuing, this expression

$$\begin{aligned} &= \gamma(G|M)c(G|M)^2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \sum_{w \in W(G|M)} \chi_{w\nu}(m) \\ & \quad \int_{N_0} \psi^{-1}(n_0) \langle w\sigma(m).A_w(\nu)u_\nu(1), A_w(\nu)v_\nu(n_0^{-1}) \rangle_{w\sigma} d\nu \end{aligned}$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 5.2.2 (2), this expression is equal to

$$\gamma(G|M)c(G|M)^2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \sum_{w \in W(G|M)} \chi_{w\nu}(m) \lambda_{E_{w\sigma, w\nu}(A_w(\nu)v)}(w\sigma(m)A_w(\nu)u_\nu(1)) d\nu.$$

□

Proof of Theorem 6.2.2 (3). Assume $P_\theta \neq P_\vartheta$. As we may express $W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}]; v}$ in terms of f_ψ (c.f. proof of Theorem 6.2.2 (1)), the orthogonality statement follows immediately from Lemma 6.3.2.

Now assume that $\theta = \vartheta$ and that we have two orbits $\mathcal{O}$ and $\mathcal{P}$ containing discrete series representations σ and ϱ respectively. Consider functions $W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v}$ and $W_{[\beta, \mathcal{P}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v_1}$ where $\alpha = \Lambda(\alpha' \otimes u)$ and $\beta = \Lambda(\beta' \otimes u_1)$ with $u, v \in I(\sigma)$ and $u_1, v_1 \in I(\varrho)$. We observe that by Lemma 6.1.1,

$$W_{[\Lambda(\alpha' \otimes v), \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u}(g) = (f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u, v})_\psi(g).$$

Since Lemma 6.1.2 tells us that Λ is surjective what must be shown is that if $\mathcal{P} \not\subseteq W(G|M_\theta)\mathcal{O}$, then

$$\langle W_{[\beta', \mathcal{P}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v_1}, W_{[\alpha', \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v} \rangle = 0.$$

To this end, it suffices to show that

$$B(f_{[\beta, \mathcal{P}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u_1, v_1}, (f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u, v})_\psi) = 0.$$

We begin from the expression of (5.4.3) and write the expression $\lambda_{E_{\varrho, \nu}(v_1)}(\varrho(m)u_1(k))$ there as

$$W(u_1(k), \lambda_{E_{\varrho, \nu}(v_1)})(m) =: W_{\varrho, \nu}^{u_1(k), \lambda_{E_{\varrho, \nu}(v_1)}}(m).$$

In the same way $\lambda_{E_{w\sigma, w\nu}(A_w(\nu)v)}(w\sigma(m)A_w(\nu)u_\nu(1))$ in (6.3.3) is written as

$$W(A_w(\nu)u_\nu(1), \lambda_{E_{w\sigma, w\nu}(A_w(\nu)v)})(m) =: W_{w\sigma, w\nu}^{Au(1), \lambda_{E_{w\sigma, w\nu}(A_w(\nu)v)}}(m).$$

If we fix a $\chi_\nu \in \text{Im } X_{ur}(M_\theta)$, then these are square integrable Whittaker functions on the Levi, M_θ and realizes the representations ϱ and $w\sigma$ in ${}^\circ\mathcal{C}(N_* \setminus M_\theta; \psi^\theta)$.

Now we let $\varphi = (f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u, v})_\psi$ and $f = f_{[\beta, \mathcal{P}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u_1, v_1}$. If we substitute this into (5.4.3), and use (6.3.3) then the expression becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& \gamma(G|M_\theta) c(G|M_\theta)^2 \int_{N_* \setminus M_\theta \times K} \int_{\mathcal{P}} \beta(\nu_1) \chi_{\nu_1}(m) W_{\varrho, \nu_1}^{u_1(k), \lambda_{E v_1}}(m) d\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(\nu_1) \\
& \quad \overline{\left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \sum_{w \in W(G|M_\theta)} \chi_{w\nu}(m) W_{w\sigma, w\nu}^{Au(k), \lambda_{EAv}}(m) d\nu \right)} dm dk \\
(6.3.4) \quad & = \gamma(G|M_\theta) c(G|M_\theta)^2 \int_{\mathcal{P}} \beta(\nu_1) \int_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\alpha(\nu)} \\
& \quad \int_{N_* \setminus M_\theta \times K} \sum_{w \in W(G|M_\theta)} \chi_{\nu_1}(m) W_{\varrho, \nu_1}^{u_1(k), \lambda_{E v_1}}(m) \overline{\chi_{w\nu}(m) W_{w\sigma, w\nu}^{Au(k), \lambda_{EAv}}(m)} dm dk d\nu d\mu_{\mathcal{P}}(\nu_1).
\end{aligned}$$

As ϱ is not equivalent to $w\sigma$ for any $w \in W(G|M_\theta)$, the integral over $N_* \setminus M_\theta$ vanishes.

Now we come to the final assertion of the theorem. In this we will assume that $P_\theta = P_\vartheta$ and because of Lemma 6.2.1, $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{O}$. However we will consider the function $W_{[\beta', \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v}$ where β' is replaced by

$$\beta' = (\nu \mapsto \beta(\nu)\lambda)$$

where $\beta \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ and $\lambda \in Wh_{\psi_\theta}(H_\sigma)$.

Now from (5.4.3) and (6.2.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle W_{[\beta', \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u_1}, W_{[\Lambda(\alpha \otimes v), \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u} \rangle &= \\
& \int_{N_0 \setminus G} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{J_{\sigma, \nu}(\beta(\nu)\lambda)(\pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}(g)u_1)} (f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u, v})_\psi(g) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) dg \\
&= \int_{N_2 \setminus M_\theta \times K} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\beta(\nu)\lambda(\chi_\nu \boxtimes \sigma(m)u_1(k))} ((R(k)(f_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; u, v})_{\psi_\theta})^{P_\theta}(m) d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) dm dk \\
&= \gamma(G|M_\theta) c(G|M_\theta)^2 \int_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\beta(\nu)} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu_1) \\
& \quad \int_{N_2 \setminus M_\theta \times K} \sum_{w \in W(G|M_\theta)} \overline{\chi_{w\nu}(m) W_{w\sigma, w\nu}^{Au_1(k), M^{-1}\lambda}(m)} \chi_{w\nu_1}(m) W_{w\sigma, w\nu_1}^{Au(k), \lambda_{EAv}}(m) dm dk d\nu_1 d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu)
\end{aligned}$$

by (6.3.3) and (6.2.2)

The integral over $N_2 \setminus M_\theta$ vanishes unless $\nu = \nu_1$ in which case we are simply integrating on the image of the diagonal embedding

$$\mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O} \times \mathcal{O}.$$

It is clear that the measure on the image is $d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu)$. Then the expression above reduces to

$$(6.3.5) \quad \gamma(G|M_\theta) c(G|M_\theta)^2 \sum_{w \in W(G|M_\theta)} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \overline{\beta(\nu)} \int_{N_2 \setminus M_\theta \times K} \overline{W_{w\sigma, w\nu}^{Au_1(k), M^{-1}\lambda}(m)} W_{w\sigma, w\nu}^{Au(k), \lambda_{EAv}}(m) dm dk d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu).$$

Let $v' \in H_{w\sigma}$ be chosen to satisfy $M_w(\nu)^{-1}\lambda(v') = 1$ and set $\eta = \lambda_{v'}$. Then we have that

$$W_{w\sigma, w\nu}^{Au(k), \lambda_{EAv}}(m) = \overline{\lambda_{w\sigma(m)Au(k)}(EAv)} = \overline{J_{w\sigma, w\nu}(\lambda_{w\sigma(m)Au(k)})(Av)}$$

and

$$\lambda_{w\sigma(m)Au(k)} = \overline{\eta(w\sigma(m)Au(k))} M_w(\nu)^{-1} \lambda$$

so that

$$W_{w\sigma, w\nu}^{Au(k), \lambda_{EA v}}(m) = \eta(w\sigma(m)Au(k)) \overline{J_{w\sigma, w\nu}(M_w(\nu)^{-1} \lambda)(Av)}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{N_2 \setminus M_\theta \times K} \overline{W_{w\sigma, w\nu}^{Au_1(k), M^{-1} \lambda}(m)} W_{w\sigma, w\nu_1}^{Au(k), \lambda_{EA v}}(m) dm dk \\ &= \overline{J_{w\sigma, w\nu}(M_w(\nu)^{-1} \lambda)(A_w(\nu)v)} (\eta, M_w(\nu)^{-1} \lambda)_{w\sigma} \int_K \langle A_w(\nu)u(k), A_w(\nu)u_1(k) \rangle_{w\sigma} \\ &= d(w\sigma)^{-1} \overline{J_{w\sigma, w\nu}(M_w(\nu)^{-1} \lambda)(A_w(\nu)v)} \langle u, u_1 \rangle_{I(\sigma)} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6.1.3 and since ${}^\circ c_{\bar{P}|\bar{P}}(w, \sigma)$ is unitary. Continuing from (6.3.5), this expression simplifies to

$$\gamma(G|M_\theta) c(G|M_\theta)^2 \langle u, u_1 \rangle_{I(\sigma)} \sum_{w \in W(G|M_\theta)} d(w\sigma)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{O}} \alpha(\nu) \overline{\beta(\nu) J_{w\sigma, w\nu}(M_w(\nu)^{-1} \lambda)(A_w(\nu)v)} d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu)$$

and by (6.2.2) and the well known fact that $d(w\sigma) = d(\sigma)$, this equals

$$\begin{aligned} & \gamma(G|M_\theta) c(G|M_\theta)^2 \langle u, u_1 \rangle_{I(\sigma)} |W(G|M_\theta)| \int_{\mathcal{O}} d(\sigma)^{-1} \alpha(\nu) \overline{\beta(\nu) J_{\sigma, \nu}(\lambda)(v)} d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) \\ &= \gamma(G|M_\theta) c(G|M_\theta)^2 \langle u, u_1 \rangle_{I(\sigma)} |W(G|M_\theta)| \int_{\mathcal{O}} (\alpha'(\nu), \beta'(\nu))_{\sigma} d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha'(\nu) = \Lambda(\alpha \otimes v)$ and $\beta'(\nu) = \beta(\nu)\lambda$ for $\alpha, \beta \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$. Lemma 6.1.4 justifies the last equality.

The proof is now complete. $\square$

6.4. Fix an open compact subgroup H_m , $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)^{H_m}$ and $\nu \in \text{Im} X_{ur}(M_\theta)$. Observe that the integral in Corollary 5.4.2 defines a conjugate linear functional on

$$(I_{\bar{P}_\theta}^G \sigma \boxtimes \chi_\nu)^{H_m} \otimes Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma) =: \mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \nu}^{H_m}$$

considered as a Hilbert space. By the Reisz Representation theorem there exists a unique element in $I(\sigma) \otimes Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma)$ denoted $W_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}(f)(\nu)$ such that

$$\langle W_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}(f)(\nu), w \otimes \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \nu}^{H_m}} = \int_{N_0 \setminus G} f(g) \overline{J_\sigma(\lambda)(\pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}(g)w)} dg.$$

As we vary $\sigma \boxtimes \chi_\nu$ over $\mathcal{O}$, we may consider $W_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}(f)$ as an element of $I(\sigma) \otimes C^\infty(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma))$.

Define the following linear operator on $I(\sigma) \otimes C^\infty(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi^\theta}(H_\sigma))$ to $\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$,

$$T_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}(v \otimes \alpha) := |W(G|M_\theta)|^{-1} \gamma(G|M_\theta)^{-1} c(G|M_\theta)^{-2} W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v}.$$

Let $\mathcal{E}_{\psi^\theta}^2(M_\theta)$ denote the set of isomorphism classes of ψ^θ generic discrete series representations on M_θ . By $\mathcal{E}_{\psi^\theta}^2(M_\theta)/W(G|M_\theta)$ we mean the quotient space obtained by identifying the discrete series representations which are conjugate under the natural action of the Weyl group $W(G|M_\theta)$.

Theorem 6.4.1 (Plancherel Formula for $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$). *Consider $f \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$, then*

$$(6.4.1) \quad f = \sum_{(P_\theta, A_\theta) \succ (P_0, A_0)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\psi, \theta}^2(M_\theta)/W(G|M_\theta)} T_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}(W_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}(f)).$$

Proof. Set $\mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \nu} = \bigcup_K \mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \nu}^K$ and consider the Hilbert space defined by

$$\mathcal{I}_{\sigma, M_\theta} = \int_{\mathcal{O}}^{\oplus} \mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \nu} d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu)$$

where

$$d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{O}} = |W(G|M_\theta)|^{-1} \gamma(G|M_\theta)^{-1} c(G|M_\theta)^{-2} d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu).$$

Then we consider $I(\sigma) \otimes C^\infty(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi, \theta}(H_\sigma))$ as a dense subspace of $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$. The linear operator $T_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}$ extends to $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$ by continuity.

We check that for any $v_1 \otimes \alpha_1, v_2 \otimes \alpha_2 \in I(\sigma) \otimes C^\infty(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi, \theta}(H_\sigma))$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}(v_1 \otimes \alpha_1), T_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}(v_2 \otimes \alpha_2) \rangle_{L^2} \\ = |W(G|M_\theta)|^{-2} \gamma(G|M_\theta)^{-2} c(G|M_\theta)^{-4} \langle W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v_1}, W_{[\alpha, \mathcal{O}, \bar{P}_\theta]; v_2} \rangle \end{aligned}$$

by definition of $T_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}$

$$= |W(G|M_\theta)|^{-1} \gamma(G|M_\theta)^{-1} c(G|M_\theta)^{-2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} (\alpha_1(\nu), \alpha_2(\nu))_\sigma d\mu_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) \right) \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{I(\sigma)}$$

by Theorem 6.2.2(3)

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\int_{\mathcal{O}} (\alpha_1(\nu), \alpha_2(\nu))_\sigma d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) \right) \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle_{I(\sigma)} \\ &= \langle v_1 \otimes \alpha_1, v_2 \otimes \alpha_2 \rangle_{\mathcal{I}_{\sigma, M_\theta}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that $T_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}$ extends to a unitary operator from $\mathcal{I}_\sigma$ into $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.

By Theorem 6.2.2 (2) and Lemma 6.2.1, one sees that

$$T := \sum_{(P_\theta, A_\theta) \succ (P_0, A_0)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\psi, \theta}^2(M_\theta)/W(G|M_\theta)} T_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma}$$

is a linear map from

$$\sum_{(P_\theta, A_\theta) \succ (P_0, A_0)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\psi, \theta}^2(M_\theta)/W(G|M_\theta)} I(\sigma) \otimes C^\infty(\mathcal{O}; Wh_{\psi, \theta}(H_\sigma))$$

onto $\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. By the previous paragraph, T extends to *unitary* linear operator on

$$\sum_{(P_\theta, A_\theta) \succ (P_0, A_0)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\psi, \theta}^2(M_\theta)/W(G|M_\theta)} \mathcal{I}_{\sigma, M_\theta}.$$

As before, let $\alpha(\nu) = \alpha'(\nu)\lambda$ for any $\alpha \in C^\infty(\mathcal{O})$ and a fixed $\lambda \in Wh_{\psi, \theta}(H_\sigma)$. Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_{\bar{P}, \sigma}(W_{\bar{P}, \sigma}(f)), T_{\bar{P}, \sigma}(w \otimes \alpha) \rangle_{L^2} &= \int_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\alpha'(\nu)} \langle W_{\bar{P}, \sigma}(f), w \otimes \lambda \rangle_{\mathcal{H}_{\sigma, \nu}} d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) \\ &= \int_{\mathcal{O}} \overline{\alpha'(\nu)} \int_{N_0 \setminus G} f(g) \overline{J_{\sigma, \nu}(\lambda)} (\pi_{\bar{P}_\theta, \sigma, \nu}(g)w) dg d\tilde{\mu}_{\mathcal{O}}(\nu) \\ &= \langle f, T_{\bar{P}, \sigma}(w \otimes \alpha) \rangle_{L^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that if $P \neq P'$ or if σ and σ' are not Weyl group conjugates of each other, then $\langle T_{\bar{P},\sigma}(W_{\bar{P},\sigma}(f)), T_{\bar{P}',\sigma'}(w \otimes \alpha) \rangle_{L^2} = 0$.

Let $R(f)$ denote the right hand side of (6.4.1). Then the considerations of the previous two paragraphs imply that $\langle f, h \rangle = \langle R(f), h \rangle$ for all $h \in \mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$. As $\mathcal{C}^*(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$ is dense in $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$, $f = R(f)$ and the theorem follows. $\square$

We state a corollary of the proof.

Corollary 6.4.2. *The unitary linear map T is a surjection from*

$$\sum_{(P_\theta, A_\theta) \succ (P_0, A_0)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{\psi, \theta}^2(M_\theta)/W(G|M_\theta)} \mathcal{I}_{\sigma, M_\theta}$$

onto $L^2(N_0 \setminus G; \psi)$.

$\square$

REFERENCES

- [Ca1] W. Casselman, *Introduction to the theory of admissible representations of p -adic reductive groups*. Unpublished notes.
- [Ca2] W. Casselman, *The unramified principal series of p -adic groups. I. The spherical function*. Compositio Math. **40** no. 3 1980, p. 387-406.
- [C-S] W. Casselman and J. Shalika, *The unramified principal series of p -adic groups. II. The Whittaker function*. Compositio Math. **41** no. 2 1980, p. 207-231.
- [D1] P. Delorme, *Formule de Plancherel pour les fonctions de Whittaker sur un groupe réductif p -adique*. arXiv:1005.2048v1.
- [D2] P. Delorme, *Théorème de Paley-Wiener pour les fonctions de Whittaker sur un groupe réductif p -adique*. arXiv:0905.2598v2.
- [Jac] H. Jacquet, *Fonctions de Whittaker associées aux groupes de Chevalley* Bull. Soc. Math. France **95** 1967 pg. 243-309.
- [KLS] C. Khare, M. Larsen and G. Savin. *Functoriality and the inverse Galois problem*. Composition Math. **144** (2008) 541-564.
- [L-Z] E. Lapid, M. Zhengyu. *On the Asymptotics of Whittaker functions*. Represent. Theory 13 (2009), pg. 63-81.
- [M-W] C. Moeglin, J.L. Waldspurger. *Spectral decomposition and Eisenstein series : une paraphrase de l'écriture*. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [Ram1] D. Ramakrishnan. *Multiplicity one for the Gelfand-Graev representation of a linear group*. Compositio Mathematica, tome 45, **1** (1982) pg. 3-14.
- [Ram2] D. Ramakrishnan. *Spectral Decomposition of $L^2(N \setminus GL(2), \eta)$* . Pacific Journal of Math. Vol 124, **1**, 1986.
- [Rod] F. Rodier, *Whittaker models for admissible representations of reductive p -adic group split groups*, Harmonic Analysis on Homogeneous Spaces. Proc. Symp. Pure Math. XXVI. Amer. Math. Soc. 1973.
- [Shah] F. Shahidi, *On certain L -functions*, Amer. J. Math. **103** (1981), no. 2, 297-355.
- [Sil1] A. J. Silberger. *Introduction to harmonic analysis on reductive p -adic groups : based on lectures by Harish-Chandra at the Institute for Advanced Study, 1971-73*. Princeton University Press, 1979.
- [Sil2] A. J. Silberger. *Harish-Chandra's Plancherel Theorem for p -adic groups*. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 348, no. 11 November 1996.
- [Wal] J.L. Waldspurger. *Le Formule De Plancherel Pour Les Groupes p -adiques D'après Harish-Chandra*. Journal of the Institute of Math. Jussieu (2003) **2**(2), 235-333. Cambridge University Press.
- [Wa] N. Wallach, *Real Reductive Groups Volume II*. Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 132 (Academic Press, San Diego, 1992).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE, BLOCK S17, 10, LOWER KENT RIDGE ROAD, SINGAPORE 119076

E-mail address: mattul@nus.edu.sg